EXHIBIT G

1	Martha J. Keon, Bar No. 213771		
2	mkeon@littler.com LITTLER MENDELSON, P.C.		
3	Three Parkway		
4	1601 Cherry Street, Suite 1400 Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19102.1321		
5	Telephone: 267.402.3000		
_	Fax No.: 267.402.3131		
6	Shannon R. Boyce, Bar No. LITTLER MENDELSON, P.C.		
7	sboyce@littler.com		
8	Suite 500		
9	Los Angeles, CA 90067		
10	Telephone: 310-553-0308 Fax No.: 310-553-5583		
11	Attorneys for Defendant		
12	RCM TECHNOLOGIES (USA), INC. (SUED		
13	HEREIN ERRONEOUSLY AS "RCM TECHNOLOGIES, INC.")		
14	, ,		
15	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
16	CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
17			
18	BARBARA GRADY, individually an	d on Case No. 5:22-CV-00842-JLS-SHK	
19	behalf of all others similarly situated,	RCM TECHNOLOGIES (USA),	
20	Plaintiff,	INC.'S RESPONSES TO PLAINTIFF'S SECOND SET	
21	V.	INTERROGATORIES TO	
22	RCM TECHNOLOGIES, INC.,	DEFENDANT RCM TECHNOLOGIES (USA), INC.	
23	Defendant.		
24			
25	PROPOUNDING PARTY: PLA	INTIFF BARBARA GRADY	
26		ENDANT RCM TECHNOLOGIES (USA), INC.	
27	SET NO.: TWO		
28	140		
DN PC			

LITTLER MENDELSON, P.C. Three Parkway 1601 Cherry Street, Suite 1400 Philadelphia, PA 19102.1321 267.402.3000

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

TO PLAINTIFF BARBARA GRADY AND HER ATTORNEY OF RECORD:

Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 33, Defendant RCM TECHNOLOGIES (USA), INC. (hereinafter "Defendant") hereby provides responses to the Interrogatories, Set Two, served upon it by Plaintiff BARBARA GRADY ("Plaintiff") as follows:

PRELIMINARY STATEMENT

The following answers are based upon information presently known and available to Defendant. Discovery, both internal and external, is still ongoing, and Defendant reserves the right to supplement these answers with subsequently discovered information and/or to introduce such information at trial. Each answer is subject to all objections as to competence, relevance, materiality, propriety, admissibility and any and all other objections or grounds that would require exclusion of the answers produced by Defendant, or any part thereof, if any of these answers were presented in All appropriate objections and grounds are hereby reserved and may be interposed at trial regarding the introduction into evidence of an answer produced by Defendant herein.

The fact that Defendant has responded to or objected to any request or interrogatory or part thereof may not be taken as an admission that they admit the existence of any fact set forth in or assumed by such request or interrogatory or that such answer constitutes relevant evidence other than as expressly admitted herein. No implied admissions whatsoever are intended by these answers. The fact that Defendant has answered part or all of any request or interrogatory shall not be construed to be a waiver by Defendant of any objections to part or all of any interrogatory.

To the extent that part or all of any request or interrogatory calls for information which constitutes material prepared in anticipation of litigation or for trial, information or material covered by the work product doctrine or information protected from disclosure by virtue of a privilege including, but not limited to, the attorney-client communication privilege, Defendant objects to each and every such request and

9

10

6

14

18

16

21

22

27

25

28

interrogatory and will not supply or render any information or material protected from discovery by virtue of the work product doctrine or any privilege including, but not limited to, the attorney-client communication privilege. Also, Defendant objects to the extent the requests and interrogatories seek information relating to Defendant's and her counsel's legal conclusions and research and will not provide such information.

To the extent that any interrogatory seeks to discover the residence address and/or telephone number of any individual, Defendant objects to each and every such interrogatory on the grounds that such interrogatory seeks information privileged from disclosure on the basis of said individual's right to privacy and confidentiality and because such interrogatory seeks information which is not relevant to the subject matter of this action nor reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence.

The above-stated objections are hereby made applicable to each and all of the interrogatories and are incorporated by reference as though fully set forth in each answer to each interrogatory. Without waiving any of the foregoing objections, Defendant responds as follows:

RESPONSES TO INTERROGATORIES

INTERROGATORY NO. 1:

For the time period October 8, 2017, to the present, please state the number of NURSES YOU have employed AND/OR placed to work at a Covid testing AND/OR vaccination site in California, other than a school site.

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 1:

Defendant objects to this Interrogatory on the grounds that it is overly broad, unduly burdensome, and seeks irrelevant information, to the extent it seeks information regarding "NURSES," (which is defined as any "individual who has been employed by [Defendant] as a nurse, traveling nurse, testing provider, or like hourly position in California . . . and who [Defendant] placed in ANY medical center, medical system, hospital, Covid testing or vaccination site, or other location in California that is owned or operated by [Defendant] or ANY of [Defendant's] client or customers,"), insofar as Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, Defendant responds as follows: Approximately 395 individuals have been placed to work at a Covid testing and/or vaccination site in California, other than a school site, during the time period specified. Discovery is ongoing and Defendant reserves the right to supplement this response as necessary.

INTERROGATORY NO. 2:

For the time period October 8, 2017, to the present, please state the number of NURSES YOU have employed AND/OR placed to work at a Covid testing AND/OR vaccination site in California, other than a school site, who have signed or executed ANY ARBITRATION AGREEMENT with YOU.

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 2:

Defendant objects to this Interrogatory on the grounds that it is overly broad, unduly burdensome, and seeks irrelevant information, to the extent it seeks information regarding "NURSES," (which is defined as any "individual who has been employed by [Defendant] as a nurse, traveling nurse, testing provider, or like hourly position in California . . . and who [Defendant] placed in ANY medical center, medical system, hospital, Covid testing or vaccination site, or other location in California that is owned or operated by [Defendant] or ANY of [Defendant's] client or customers,"), insofar as Plaintiff was employed solely as a Licensed Vocational Nurse on a per diem basis and worked at just two types of assignments. Defendant objects to the term "YOU" as overly broad and seeking irrelevant information to the extent that it requests information concerning, "ANY subsidiary, agent AND/OR affiliate of ANY of the foregoing entities AND/OR businesses."

28
LITTLER MENDELSON, P.C.
Three Parkway
1601 Cherry Street, Suite 1400
Philadelphia, PA 19102.1321
267-402.3000

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, Defendant responds as follows: Approximately 15 individuals have signed arbitration agreements during the time period specified. Discovery is ongoing and Defendant reserves the right to supplement this response as necessary.

INTERROGATORY NO. 3:

For the time period October 8, 2017, to the present, please state the number of NURSES YOU have employed AND/OR placed to work at a Covid testing AND/OR vaccination site in California, other than a school site, who have signed ANY acknowledgment of receipt of YOUR California Timekeeping, Meal Period and Rest Break Policy(ies).

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 3:

Defendant objects to this Interrogatory on the grounds that it is overly broad, unduly burdensome, and seeks irrelevant information, to the extent it seeks information regarding "NURSES," (which is defined as any "individual who has been employed by [Defendant] as a nurse, traveling nurse, testing provider, or like hourly position in California . . . and who [Defendant] placed in ANY medical center, medical system, hospital, Covid testing or vaccination site, or other location in California that is owned or operated by [Defendant] or ANY of [Defendant's] client or customers,"), insofar as Plaintiff was employed solely as a Licensed Vocational Nurse on a per diem basis and worked at just two types of assignments. Defendant objects to the term "YOU" as overly broad and seeking irrelevant information to the extent that it requests information concerning, "ANY subsidiary, agent AND/OR affiliate of ANY of the foregoing entities AND/OR businesses."

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, Defendant responds as follows: Approximately 17 individuals have signed written acknowledgments of receipt of the California Timekeeping, Meal Period and Rest Break Policy during the time period specified. Discovery is ongoing and Defendant reserves the right to supplement this response as necessary.

INTERROGATORY NO. 4:

For the time period October 8, 2017 to the present, please state the number of NURSES YOU have employed AND/OR placed to work at a Covid testing AND/OR vaccination site in California, other than a school site, who have signed an Employee Meal Period Waiver Election Form or other document that waives their right to one or more meal periods on a workday for which they are employed by YOU.

Document 44-8

ID #:1089

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 4:

Defendant objects to this Interrogatory on the grounds that it is overly broad, unduly burdensome, and seeks irrelevant information, to the extent it seeks information regarding "NURSES," (which is defined as any "individual who has been employed by [Defendant] as a nurse, traveling nurse, testing provider, or like hourly position in California . . . and who [Defendant] placed in ANY medical center, medical system, hospital, Covid testing or vaccination site, or other location in California that is owned or operated by [Defendant] or ANY of [Defendant's] client or customers,"), insofar as Plaintiff was employed solely as a Licensed Vocational Nurse on a per diem basis and worked at just two types of assignments. Defendant objects to the term "YOU" as overly broad and seeking irrelevant information to the extent that it requests information concerning, "ANY subsidiary, agent AND/OR affiliate of ANY of the foregoing entities AND/OR businesses."

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, Defendant responds as follows: Approximately 19 individuals have signed an Employee Meal Period Waiver Election Form during the time period specified. Discovery is ongoing and Defendant reserves the right to supplement this response as necessary.

INTERROGATORY NO. 5:

For ANY NURSES YOU have employed AND/OR placed to work at a Covid testing AND/OR vaccination site in California, other than a school site, for the time period October 8, 2017, to the present, please identify by date, employee, and amount, each instance in which YOU have compensated the employee with a premium wage or

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

penalty for a missed or noncompliant meal or rest period.

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 5:

Defendant objects to this Interrogatory on the grounds that it is overly broad, unduly burdensome, and seeks irrelevant information, to the extent it seeks information regarding "NURSES," (which is defined as any "individual who has been employed by [Defendant] as a nurse, traveling nurse, testing provider, or like hourly position in California . . . and who [Defendant] placed in ANY medical center, medical system, hospital, Covid testing or vaccination site, or other location in California that is owned or operated by [Defendant] or ANY of [Defendant's] client or customers,"), insofar as Plaintiff was employed solely as a Licensed Vocational Nurse on a per diem basis and worked at just two types of assignments. Defendant objects to the term "YOU" as overly broad and seeking irrelevant information to the extent that it requests information concerning, "ANY subsidiary, agent AND/OR affiliate of ANY of the foregoing entities AND/OR businesses." Defendant additionally objects to the terms "premium wage" and "penalty" as vague and ambiguous. Defendant further objects to this interrogatory to the extent it calls for a legal conclusion.

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, Defendant responds as follows: The individuals set forth on Exhibit A received a meal and/or rest period premium through May 17, 2024 under the pay code "PREM." Additional sums may have been paid outside of the "PREM" pay code. Discovery is ongoing and Defendant reserves the right to supplement this response as necessary.

INTERROGATORY NO. 6:

For the time period October 8, 2017, to the present, please state the number of NURSES YOU have employed AND/OR placed to work at a school site in California to perform Covid testing AND/OR vaccinations.

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 6:

Defendant objects to this Interrogatory on the grounds that it is overly broad, unduly burdensome, and seeks irrelevant information, to the extent it seeks information

11 12 13

10

14 15 16

17 18

20 21

22

19

23 24

26 27

25

28

regarding "NURSES," (which is defined as any "individual who has been employed by [Defendant] as a nurse, traveling nurse, testing provider, or like hourly position in California . . . and who [Defendant] placed in ANY medical center, medical system, hospital, Covid testing or vaccination site, or other location in California that is owned or operated by [Defendant] or ANY of [Defendant's] client or customers,"), insofar as Plaintiff was employed solely as a Licensed Vocational Nurse on a per diem basis and worked at just two types of assignments. Defendant objects to the term "YOU" as overly broad and seeking irrelevant information to the extent that it requests information concerning, "ANY subsidiary, agent AND/OR affiliate of ANY of the foregoing entities AND/OR businesses."

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, Defendant responds as follows: Approximately 727 individuals have been placed to work at school sites to perform Covid testing and/or vaccinations during the time period specified, though additional or alternative duties may have been performed depending on client and/or date. Discovery is ongoing and Defendant reserves the right to supplement this response as necessary.

INTERROGATORY NO. 7:

For the time period October 8, 2017, to the present, please state the number of NURSES YOU have employed AND/OR placed to work at a school site in California, who have signed or executed ANY ARBITRATION AGREEMENT with YOU.

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 7:

Defendant objects to this Interrogatory on the grounds that it is overly broad, unduly burdensome, and seeks irrelevant information, to the extent it seeks information regarding "NURSES," (which is defined as any "individual who has been employed by [Defendant] as a nurse, traveling nurse, testing provider, or like hourly position in California . . . and who [Defendant] placed in ANY medical center, medical system, hospital, Covid testing or vaccination site, or other location in California that is owned or operated by [Defendant] or ANY of [Defendant's] client or customers,"), insofar as

10

13 14

16 17

15

18 19

20

21

22

23

24 25

26

27

28 LITTLER MENDELSON, P.C.
Three Parkway
1601 Cherry Street, Suite 1400
Philadelphia, PA 19102.1321
267.402.3000 Plaintiff was employed solely as a Licensed Vocational Nurse on a per diem basis and worked at just two types of assignments. Defendant objects to the term "YOU" as overly broad and seeking irrelevant information to the extent that it requests information concerning, "ANY subsidiary, agent AND/OR affiliate of ANY of the foregoing entities AND/OR businesses."

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, Defendant responds as follows: Approximately 483 individuals have signed arbitration agreements during the time period specified. Discovery is ongoing and Defendant reserves the right to supplement this response as necessary.

INTERROGATORY NO. 8:

For the time period October 8, 2017, to the present, please state the number of NURSES YOU have employed AND/OR placed to work at a school site in California, who have signed ANY acknowledgment of receipt of YOUR California Timekeeping, Meal Period and Rest Break Policy(ies).

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 8:

Defendant objects to this Interrogatory on the grounds that it is overly broad, unduly burdensome, and seeks irrelevant information, to the extent it seeks information regarding "NURSES," (which is defined as any "individual who has been employed by [Defendant] as a nurse, traveling nurse, testing provider, or like hourly position in California . . . and who [Defendant] placed in ANY medical center, medical system, hospital, Covid testing or vaccination site, or other location in California that is owned or operated by [Defendant] or ANY of [Defendant's] client or customers,"), insofar as Plaintiff was employed solely as a Licensed Vocational Nurse on a per diem basis and worked at just two types of assignments. Defendant objects to the term "YOU" as overly broad and seeking irrelevant information to the extent that it requests information concerning, "ANY subsidiary, agent AND/OR affiliate of ANY of the foregoing entities AND/OR businesses."

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, Defendant responds as

follows: Approximately 424 individuals have signed written acknowledgments of receipt of the California Timekeeping, Meal Period and Rest Break Policy during the time period specified. Discovery is ongoing and Defendant reserves the right to supplement this response as necessary.

INTERROGATORY NO. 9:

For the time period October 8, 2017, to the present, please state the number of NURSES YOU have employed AND/OR placed to work at a school site in California, who have signed an Employee Meal Period Waiver Election Form or other document that waives their right to one or more meal periods on a workday for which they are employed by YOU.

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 9:

Defendant objects to this Interrogatory on the grounds that it is overly broad, unduly burdensome, and seeks irrelevant information, to the extent it seeks information regarding "NURSES," (which is defined as any "individual who has been employed by [Defendant] as a nurse, traveling nurse, testing provider, or like hourly position in California . . . and who [Defendant] placed in ANY medical center, medical system, hospital, Covid testing or vaccination site, or other location in California that is owned or operated by [Defendant] or ANY of [Defendant's] client or customers,"), insofar as Plaintiff was employed solely as a Licensed Vocational Nurse on a per diem basis and worked at just two types of assignments. Defendant objects to the term "YOU" as overly broad and seeking irrelevant information to the extent that it requests information concerning, "ANY subsidiary, agent AND/OR affiliate of ANY of the foregoing entities AND/OR businesses."

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, Defendant responds as follows: Approximately 446 individuals have signed an Employee Meal Period Waiver Election Form during the time period specified. Discovery is ongoing and Defendant reserves the right to supplement this response as necessary.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

INTERROGATORY NO. 10:

For ANY NURSES YOU have employed AND/OR placed to work at a school site in California, for the time period October 8, 2017, to the present, please identify by date, employee, and amount, each instance in which YOU have compensated the employee with a premium wage or penalty for a missed or noncompliant meal or rest period.

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 10:

Defendant objects to this Interrogatory on the grounds that it is overly broad, unduly burdensome, and seeks irrelevant information, to the extent it seeks information regarding "NURSES," (which is defined as any "individual who has been employed by [Defendant] as a nurse, traveling nurse, testing provider, or like hourly position in California . . . and who [Defendant] placed in ANY medical center, medical system, hospital, Covid testing or vaccination site, or other location in California that is owned or operated by [Defendant] or ANY of [Defendant's] client or customers,"), insofar as Plaintiff was employed solely as a Licensed Vocational Nurse on a per diem basis and worked at just two types of assignments. Defendant objects to the term "YOU" as overly broad and seeking irrelevant information to the extent that it requests information concerning, "ANY subsidiary, agent AND/OR affiliate of ANY of the foregoing entities AND/OR businesses." Defendant additionally objects to the terms "premium wage" and "penalty" as vague and ambiguous. Defendant further objects to this interrogatory to the extent it calls for a legal conclusion.

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, Defendant responds as follows: The individuals set forth on Exhibit B received a meal and/or rest period premium through May 17, 2024 under the pay code "PREM." Additional sums may have been paid outside of the "PREM" pay code. Discovery is ongoing and Defendant reserves the right to supplement this response as necessary.

INTERROGATORY NO. 11:

For the time period October 8, 2017, to the present, please state the number of

3

4 5

7 8

6

9 10

11

12 13

14

15

16 17

18

20

19

21

22

23

24 25

26

27

28

NURSES YOU have employed AND/OR placed to work at a school site in California to perform services other than (or in addition to) Covid testing AND/OR vaccinations.

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 11:

Defendant objects to this Interrogatory on the grounds that it is overly broad, unduly burdensome, and seeks irrelevant information, to the extent it seeks information regarding "NURSES," (which is defined as any "individual who has been employed by [Defendant] as a nurse, traveling nurse, testing provider, or like hourly position in California . . . and who [Defendant] placed in ANY medical center, medical system, hospital, Covid testing or vaccination site, or other location in California that is owned or operated by [Defendant] or ANY of [Defendant's] client or customers,"), insofar as Plaintiff was employed solely as a Licensed Vocational Nurse on a per diem basis and worked at just two types of assignments. Defendant objects to the term "YOU" as overly broad and seeking irrelevant information to the extent that it requests information concerning, "ANY subsidiary, agent AND/OR affiliate of ANY of the foregoing entities AND/OR businesses."

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, Defendant responds as follows: Approximately 715 individuals have been placed to work at a school site to perform services other than (or in addition to) Covid testing AND/OR vaccinations during the time period specified, though additional or alternative duties may have been performed depending on client and/or date. Discovery is ongoing and Defendant reserves the right to supplement this response as necessary.

INTERROGATORY NO. 12:

For the time period October 8, 2017, to the present, please state the number of NURSES YOU have employed AND/OR placed to work at a hospital in California to perform services other than (or in addition to) Covid testing AND/OR vaccinations.

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 12:

Defendant objects to this Interrogatory on the grounds that it is overly broad, unduly burdensome, and seeks irrelevant information, to the extent it seeks information

regarding "NURSES," (which is defined as any "individual who has been employed by [Defendant] as a nurse, traveling nurse, testing provider, or like hourly position in California . . . and who [Defendant] placed in ANY medical center, medical system, hospital, Covid testing or vaccination site, or other location in California that is owned or operated by [Defendant] or ANY of [Defendant's] client or customers,"), insofar as Plaintiff was employed solely as a Licensed Vocational Nurse on a per diem basis and worked at just two types of assignments. Defendant objects to the term "YOU" as overly broad and seeking irrelevant information to the extent that it requests information concerning, "ANY subsidiary, agent AND/OR affiliate of ANY of the foregoing entities AND/OR businesses."

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, Defendant responds as follows: Approximately 207 individuals have been placed to work at a hospital in California to perform services other than (or in addition to) Covid testing and/or vaccinations during the time period specified. Discovery is ongoing and Defendant reserves the right to supplement this response as necessary.

INTERROGATORY NO. 13:

For ANY NURSES YOU have employed AND/OR placed to work at a hospital in California to perform services other than (or in addition to) Covid testing AND/OR vaccinations, for the time period October 8, 2017 to the present, please identify by date, employee, and amount, each instance in which YOU have compensated the employee with a premium wage or penalty for a missed or noncompliant meal or rest period.

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 13:

Defendant objects to this Interrogatory on the grounds that it is overly broad, unduly burdensome, and seeks irrelevant information, to the extent it seeks information regarding "NURSES," (which is defined as any "individual who has been employed by [Defendant] as a nurse, traveling nurse, testing provider, or like hourly position in California . . . and who [Defendant] placed in ANY medical center, medical system, hospital, Covid testing or vaccination site, or other location in California that is owned

5 6

7 8

9 10

11 12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19 20

21 22

23

24

25

26

27

28

or operated by [Defendant] or ANY of [Defendant's] client or customers,"), insofar as Plaintiff was employed solely as a Licensed Vocational Nurse on a per diem basis and worked at just two types of assignments. Defendant objects to the term "YOU" as overly broad and seeking irrelevant information to the extent that it requests information concerning, "ANY subsidiary, agent AND/OR affiliate of ANY of the foregoing entities AND/OR businesses." Defendant additionally objects to the terms "premium wage" and "penalty" as vague and ambiguous. Defendant further objects to this interrogatory to the extent it calls for a legal conclusion.

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, Defendant responds as follows: The individuals set forth on Exhibit C received a meal and/or rest period premium through May 17, 2024 under the pay code "PREM." Additional sums may have been paid outside of the "PREM" pay code. Discovery is ongoing and Defendant reserves the right to supplement this response as necessary.

INTERROGATORY NO. 14:

For the time period October 8, 2017, to the present, please state the number of YOUR clients with which YOU have had staffing agreements AND/OR arrangements, which have owned or operated a Covid testing AND/OR vaccination site in California where YOU have employed or placed one or more NURSES.

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 14:

Defendant objects to this Interrogatory on the grounds that it is overly broad, unduly burdensome, and seeks irrelevant information, to the extent it seeks information regarding "NURSES," (which is defined as any "individual who has been employed by [Defendant] as a nurse, traveling nurse, testing provider, or like hourly position in California . . . and who [Defendant] placed in ANY medical center, medical system, hospital, Covid testing or vaccination site, or other location in California that is owned or operated by [Defendant] or ANY of [Defendant's] client or customers,"), insofar as Plaintiff was employed solely as a Licensed Vocational Nurse on a per diem basis and worked at just two types of assignments. Defendant objects to the term "YOU" as overly broad and seeking irrelevant information to the extent that it requests information concerning, "ANY subsidiary, agent AND/OR affiliate of ANY of the foregoing entities AND/OR businesses." Defendant additionally objects to this Interrogatory on the grounds that the phrase "staffing agreements AND/OR arrangements" is vague and ambiguous.

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, Defendant responds as follows: During the specified time period, Defendant has had a contract with Ginkgo for K-12 Covid testing, Los Angeles Unified School District for Covid testing (and other duties), and a separate contract with San Bernardino County.

Dated: June 17, 2023

LITTLER MENDELSON, P.C.

Martha J. Keon Shannon R. Boyce

Attorneys for Defendant RCM TECHNOLOGIES, INC.

LITTLER MENDELSON, P.C.
Three Parkway
1601 Cherry Street, Suite 1400
Philadelphia, PA 19102.1321
267.402.3000

PROOF OF SERVICE

I am employed in the County of Los Angeles, State of California, over the age of eighteen years, and not a party of the within action. My business address is: 2049 Century Park East, Suite 500, Los Angeles, CA 90067. On **May 15, 2024**, I served the foregoing document(s) described as

RCM TECHNOLOGIES (USA), INC.'S RESPONSES TO PLAINTIFF'S SECOND SET INTERROGATORIES TO DEFENDANT RCM TECHNOLOGIES (USA), INC.

on the interested parties in this as follows:

Joshua Konecky	Attorneys for Plaintiff
Nathan Piller	Telephone: (415) 421-7100
SCHNEIDER WALLACE	Facsimile: (415) 421-7105
COTTRELL KONECKY LLP	jkonecky@schneiderwallace.com
2000 Powell Street, Suite 1400	npiller@schneiderwallace.com
Emeryville, CA 94608	•

VIA ELECTRONIC SERVICE: Based on a court order or an agreement of the parties to accept electronic service, I caused the documents to be sent to the persons at the electronic service addresses listed herein. My email address is mgerard@littler.com.

I declare that I am employed in the office of a member of the bar of this court at whose direction the service was made. Executed on **May 15, 2024** at Los Angeles, California.

Mary Ann Gerard Signature

4881-5565-1009.3 / 090137-1042

LITTLER MENDELSON, P.C.

Three Parkway

1601 Cherry Street, Suite 1400
Philadelphia, PA 19102.1321
267.402.3000