		PU3-018
ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY:	STATE BAR NO: 207237	FOR COURT USE ONLY
NAME: Martha Keon		
FIRM NAME: Littler Mendelson, PC		
STREET ADDRESS: 1601 Cherry St., Suite 14	.00	
CITY: Philadelphia	STATE: PA ZIP CODE: 19102-1313	
TELEPHONE NO.: (215) 866-7261	FAX NO.: (267) 402-3131	
E-MAIL ADDRESS: MKeon@littler.com		
ATTORNEY FOR (Name): Defendant RCM Tec	hnologies, Inc.	
SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO		7
STREET ADDRESS: 247 West Third Street		
MAILING ADDRESS: 247 West Third Street		
CITY AND ZIP CODE: San Bernardino, 9241	5	
BRANCH NAME: San Bernardino Justice	Center	
Plaintiff/Petitioner: Barbara Grady	1	
Defendant/Respondent: RCM Technological	ogies, Inc.	
NOTICE AND ACKNOWL	EDGMENT OF RECEIPT—CIVIL	CASE NUMBER: CIV SB 2204890

TO (insert name of party being served): RCM Technologies, Inc.

#### NOTICE

The summons and other documents identified below are being served pursuant to section 415.30 of the California Code of Civil Procedure. Your failure to complete this form and return it within 20 days from the date of mailing shown below may subject you (or the party on whose behalf you are being served) to liability for the payment of any expenses incurred in serving a summons on you in any other manner permitted by law.

If you are being served on behalf of a corporation, an unincorporated association (including a partnership), or other entity, this form must be signed by you in the name of such entity or by a person authorized to receive service of process on behalf of such entity. In all other cases, this form must be signed by you personally or by a person authorized by you to acknowledge receipt of summons. If you return this form to the sender, service of a summons is deemed complete on the day you sign the acknowledgment of receipt below.

Date of mailing:	April 19, 2022	
	Joshua G. Konecky	1-2-2
	(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)	 (SIGNATURE OF SENDER-MUST NOT BE A PARTY IN THIS CASE)

#### **ACKNOWLEDGMENT OF RECEIPT**

This acknowledges receipt of (to be completed by sender before mailing):

- 1. X A copy of the summons and of the complaint.
- 2. X Other (specify):

Civil Case Cover Sheet, Class Action Complaint, Summons, Certificate of Assignment, and Initial Complex Order and Guidelines

(To be completed by recipient):	
Date this form is signed:	
(TYPE OR PRINT YOUR NAME AND NAME OF ENTITY, IF ANY, ON WHOSE BEHALF THIS FORM IS SIGNED)	(SIGNATURE OF PERSON ACKNOWLEDGING RECEIPT, WITH TITLE IF ACKNOWLEDGMENT IS MADE ON BEHALF OF ANOTHER PERSON OR ENTITY)

Page 1 of 1

ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY (Name, State Bar nu. Joshua Konecky, SBN 182897; Nathan Piller, S Schneider Wallace Cottrell Konecky LLP 2000 Powell Street, Suite 1400, Emeryville, CA	SBN 300569; Yuri Chornobil, SBN 33190	FOR COURT USE ONLY
TELEPHONE NO.: (415) 421-7100  ATTORNEY FOR (Name): Plaintiff Barbara Grady	FAX NO. (Optional): (415) 421-7105	SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA
SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF STREET ADDRESS: 247 West Third Street	SAN BERNARDINO	COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO SAN BERNARDINO CIVIL DIVISION
MAILING ADDRESS: 247 West Third Street CITY AND ZIP CODE: San Bernardino, 92415 BRANCH NAME: San Bernardino Justice Center		FEB 0 7 2022
CASE NAME: Grady v. RCM Techno		Aller
CIVIL CASE COVER SHEET	Complex Case Designation	CASE WIMBELILEE BAYLESS CHAPA, DEPUTY
X Unlimited Limited	Counter Joinder	CIV SB 2 2 0 4 8 9 n
(Amount (Amount	Filed with first appearance by defendan	
demanded demanded is exceeds \$25,000) \$25,000	(Cal. Rules of Court, rule 3.402)	DEPT::
	low must be completed (see instructions of	in page 2).
Check one box below for the case type that     Auto Tort     Auto (22)     Uninsured motorist (46)	Contract  Breach of contract/warranty (06)  Rule 3.740 collections (09)	Provisionally Complex Civil Litigation (Cal. Rules of Court, rules 3.400–3.403)  Antitrust/Trade regulation (03)
Other PI/PD/WD (Personal Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death) Tort	Other collections (09)	Construction defect (10)  Mass tort (40)
Asbestos (04)	Insurance coverage (18)	Securities litigation (28)
Product liability (24)	Other contract (37) Real Property	Environmental/Toxic tort (30)
Medical malpractice (45)	Eminent domain/Inverse	Insurance coverage claims arising from the
Other PI/PD/WD (23)	condemnation (14)	above listed provisionally complex case types (41)
Non-PI/PD/WD (Other) Tort		Enforcement of Judgment
Business tort/unfair business practice (07)	<del></del>	Enforcement of judgment (20)
Civil rights (08)	Unlawful Detainer	Miscellaneous Civil Complaint
Defamation (13)	Commercial (31)	RICO (27)
Fraud (16)	Residential (32) Drugs (38)	Other complaint (not specified above) (42)
Intellectual property (19)	Judicial Review	Miscellaneous Civil Petition
Professional negligence (25)	Asset forfeiture (05)	Partnership and corporate governance (21)
Other non-PI/PD/WD tort (35) Employment	Petition re: arbitration award (11)	Other petition (not specified above) (43)
Wrongful termination (36)	Writ of mandate (02)	
X Other employment (15)	Other judicial review (39)	
	plex under rule 3 400 of the California Ru	es of Court. If the case is complex, mark the
factors requiring exceptional judicial manage	•	oo or oodit. If the edge to complex, mark the
a. Large number of separately repres		r of witnesses
b. x Extensive motion practice raising of issues that will be time-consuming	to resolve courts in other	with related actions pending in one or more or counties, states, or countries, or in a federal
c. x Substantial amount of documentar	ry evidence court f. Substantial p	ostjudgment judicial supervision
<ul><li>3. Remedies sought (check all that apply): a.</li><li>4. Number of causes of action (specify):</li></ul>		eclaratory or injunctive relief c punitive
	ass action suit.	
6. If there are any known related cases, file a		ev use form CM-015.)
Date: February 7, 2022		GA JOHN
Yuri Chornobil		
(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)	NOTICE	(SIGNATURE OF PARTY OR ATTORNEY FOR PARTY)
Plaintiff must file this cover sheet with the fir under the Probate Code, Family Code, or W in sanctions.	st paper filed in the action or proceeding	(except small claims cases or cases filed of Court, rule 3.220.) Failure to file may result
<ul> <li>File this cover sheet in addition to any cover</li> <li>If this case is complex under rule 3.400 et s</li> </ul>	r sheet required by local court rule. eq. of the California Rules of Court, you n	nust serve a copy of this cover sheet on all
other parties to the action or proceeding.		will be used for statistical purposes only.

Cal. Rules of Court, rules 2.30, 3.220, 3.400–3.403, 3.740;

#### INSTRUCTIONS ON HOW TO COMPLETE THE COVER SHEET

To Plaintiffs and Others Filing First Papers. If you are filing a first paper (for example, a complaint) in a civil case, you must complete and file, along with your first paper, the Civil Case Cover Sheet contained on page 1. This information will be used to compile statistics about the types and numbers of cases filed. You must complete items 1 through 6 on the sheet. In item 1, you must check one box for the case type that best describes the case. If the case fits both a general and a more specific type of case listed in item 1, check the more specific one. If the case has multiple causes of action, check the box that best indicates the primary cause of action. To assist you in completing the sheet, examples of the cases that belong under each case type in item 1 are provided below. A cover sheet must be filed only with your initial paper. Failure to file a cover sheet with the first paper filed in a civil case may subject a party. its counsel, or both to sanctions under rules 2.30 and 3.220 of the California Rules of Court.

To Parties in Rule 3.740 Collections Cases. A "collections case" under rule 3.740 is defined as an action for recovery of money owed in a sum stated to be certain that is not more than \$25,000, exclusive of interest and attorney's fees, arising from a transaction in which property, services, or money was acquired on credit. A collections case does not include an action seeking the following: (1) tort damages, (2) punitive damages, (3) recovery of real property, (4) recovery of personal property, or (5) a prejudgment writ of attachment. The identification of a case as a rule 3.740 collections case on this form means that it will be exempt from the general time-for-service requirements and case management rules, unless a defendant files a responsive pleading. A rule 3.740 collections case will be subject to the requirements for service and obtaining a judgment in rule 3.740.

To Parties in Complex Cases. In complex cases only, parties must also use the Civil Case Cover Sheet to designate whether the case is complex. If a plaintiff believes the case is complex under rule 3.400 of the California Rules of Court, this must be indicated by completing the appropriate boxes in items 1 and 2. If a plaintiff designates a case as complex, the cover sheet must be served with the complaint on all parties to the action. A defendant may file and serve no later than the time of its first appearance a joinder in the plaintiff's designation, a counter-designation that the case is not complex, or, if the plaintiff has made no designation, a designation that CASE TYPES AND EXAMPLES
Contract the case is complex.

**Auto Tort** 

Auto (22)-Personal Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death Uninsured Motorist (46) (if the case involves an uninsured motorist claim subject to arbitration, check this item instead of Auto)

#### Other PI/PD/WD (Personal Injury/ Property Damage/Wrongful Death) Tort

Asbestos (04)

Asbestos Property Damage Asbestos Personal Injury/ Wrongful Death

Product Liability (not asbestos or toxic/environmental) (24)

Medical Malpractice (45)

Medical Malpractice-

Physicians & Surgeons

Other Professional Health Care

Malpractice

Other PI/PD/WD (23)

Premises Liability (e.g., slip

and fall)

Intentional Bodily Injury/PD/WD

(e.g., assault, vandalism)

Intentional Infliction of

**Emotional Distress** 

Negligent Infliction of

**Emotional Distress** 

Other PI/PD/WD

#### Non-PI/PD/WD (Other) Tort

Business Tort/Unfair Business

Practice (07)

Civil Rights (e.g., discrimination,

false arrest) (not civil

harassment) (08)

Defamation (e.g., slander, libel)

(13)

Fraud (16)

Intellectual Property (19)

Professional Negligence (25)

Legal Malpractice

Other Professional Malpractice (not medical or legal)

Other Non-PI/PD/WD Tort (35)

#### **Employment**

Wrongful Termination (36) Other Employment (15)

# Breach of Contract/Warranty (06)

Breach of Rental/Lease

Contract (not unlawful detainer

or wrongful eviction)

Contract/Warranty Breach-Seller

Plaintiff (not fraud or negligence)

Negligent Breach of Contract/

Warranty

Other Breach of Contract/Warranty

Collections (e.g., money owed, open

book accounts) (09)

Collection Case-Seller Plaintiff

Other Promissory Note/Collections Case

Insurance Coverage (not provisionally

complex) (18)

Auto Subrogation

Other Coverage

Other Contract (37)

Contractual Fraud

Other Contract Dispute

#### **Real Property**

Eminent Domain/Inverse

Condemnation (14)

Wrongful Eviction (33)

Other Real Property (e.g., quiet title) (26)

Writ of Possession of Real Property

Mortgage Foreclosure

Quiet Title

Other Real Property (not eminent

domain, landlord/tenant, or

foreclosure)

#### **Unlawful Detainer**

Commercial (31)

Residential (32)

Drugs (38) (if the case involves illegal drugs, check this item; otherwise, report as Commercial or Residential)

#### Judicial Review

Asset Forfeiture (05)

Petition Re: Arbitration Award (11)

Writ of Mandate (02)

Writ-Administrative Mandamus

Writ-Mandamus on Limited Court

Case Matter

Writ-Other Limited Court Case

Review

Other Judicial Review (39)

Review of Health Officer Order

Notice of Appeal-Labor

Commissioner Appeals

#### Provisionally Complex Civil Litigation (Cal. Rules of Court Rules 3.400-3.403)

Antitrust/Trade Regulation (03)

Construction Defect (10)

Claims Involving Mass Tort (40)

Securities Litigation (28)

Environmental/Toxic Tort (30)

Insurance Coverage Claims

(arising from provisionally complex

#### case type listed above) (41) **Enforcement of Judgment**

Enforcement of Judgment (20)

Abstract of Judgment (Out of

County)

Confession of Judgment (non-

domestic relations)

Sister State Judgment

Administrative Agency Award

(not unpaid taxes)

Petition/Certification of Entry of

Judgment on Unpaid Taxes

Other Enforcement of Judgment

Case

#### Miscellaneous Civil Complaint

**RICO (27)** 

Other Complaint (not specified

above) (42)

**Declaratory Relief Only** 

Injunctive Relief Only (non-

harassment)

Mechanics Lien

Other Commercial Complaint

Case (non-tort/non-complex)

Other Civil Complaint

(non-tort/non-complex)

#### Miscellaneous Civil Petition

Partnership and Corporate

Governance (21)

Other Petition (not specified

above) (43)

Civil Harassment

Workplace Violence

Elder/Dependent Adult

Abuse

**Election Contest** 

Petition for Name Change

Petition for Relief From Late

Claim

Other Civil Petition

1 2 3 4 5 6	Joshua Konecky, SBN 182897 Nathan Piller, SBN 300569 Yuri Chornobil, SBN 331905 SCHNEIDER WALLACE COTTRELL KONECKY LLP 2000 Powell Street, Suite 1400 Emeryville, CA 94608 Telephone: (415) 421-7100 Facsimile: (415) 421-7105 jkonecky@schneiderwallace.com npiller@schneiderwallace.com ychornobil@schneiderwallace.com	SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO SAN BERNARDINO CIVIL DIVISION FEB 0 7 2022  BY: ASHLEE BAYLESS CHAPA, DEPUTY
7	ychornobil@schneiderwallace.com	
8	Attorneys for Plaintiffs	,
9	SUPERIOR COURT OF T	THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
10	IN AND FOR THE COU	NTY OF SAN BERNARDINO
11		Cogo No
12	BARBARA GRADY, individually and on behalf of all others similarly	Case No. CIV SB 2 2 0 4 8 9 0 CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT;
13	situated,	LAW ENFORCEMENT ACTION
14	Plaintiffs,	(1) CALIFORNIA LABOR CODE; (2) CALIFORNIA INDUSTRIAL
15 16	v.	WELFARE COMMISSION WAGE ORDERS; and (3) CALIFORNIA BUSINESS AND
17	RCM TECHNOLOGIES, INC.,	PROFESSIONS CODE §§ 17200, et seq.
18	, ,	JURY TRIAL DEMANDED
19	Defendant.	ARANGD)
20		
21	·	tiff" or "Ms. Grady"), by and through her
22		this class and law enforcement action under
23	the California Private Attorneys	` · · ·
24	Technologies, Inc. ("RCM" or "Defendant or "	dant") and alleges as follows:
25	I. NAT	TURE OF THE CASE
26	1. Plaintiff brings this class a	action and law enforcement action to remedy
27	policies and procedures that result i	n Defendant's nurses consistently working
28	hours outside of their scheduled shift	for which they are not paid and not receiving
l	1	

EXHIB

COMPLAINT

the off-duty meal and rest periods during their shifts to which they are entitled

10

11

9

12 13 14

15 16

17 18

19 20

21

22

23

24 25

26

27 28

2. Defendant RCM is a specialty healthcare staffing company headquartered in New Jersey that employs numerous traveling nurses in California. The nurses work in hospitals, nursing homes, and other healthcare facilities with which Defendant contracts. Defendant's traveling nurses typically work at a location for several weeks or months before Defendant assigns them to a new location. Defendant employed Ms. Grady, for example, as a traveling nurse in California from approximately August 30, 2020, through approximately October 17, 2020. RCM placed Ms. Grady at Hi-Desert Continuing Care and various other sites operated Desert Care Network in California.

- 3. As a matter of policy and practice, Defendant has routinely suffered and permitted Plaintiff and other similarly situated nurses to work over 12 hours per day without compensating them at a "double time" premium rate of two times their regular rate. This occurs because of unscheduled overtime (double time) that Defendant suffers and permits—and directs—the nurses to work off-the-clock. Examples of work that Plaintiff and other nurses perform off-the-clock include patient "hand-offs" or transfers (during which nurses on the outgoing shift will update those working the incoming shift regarding patient care), charting, and preshift temperature checks. At COVID-19 vaccination clinics, Plaintiff and other nurses also have had to set up and break down the equipment, including unloading and loading equipment onto vehicles, while off-the-clock. Because Defendant typically schedules the nurses for 12-hour shifts, this unpaid pre- and post-shift work means the nurses are routinely being denied their statutorily-mandated "double time" pay of two times the regular rate of pay for work beyond 12 hours in a day.
- 4. RCM also maintains insufficient staffing levels to provide nurses with off-duty meal and rest periods at the frequency and duration required by California law. Consequently, the nurses, who are ethically and professionally bound to

remain with their patients until relieved, routinely are unable to take off-duty meal and rest periods in a timely manner. Moreover, even when nurses find occasion to step away from their patients, they are not permitted to leave the premises and are on call. At the same time, RCM also fails to provide nurses with the required premium pay owed for each workday when they are not provided with the off-duty meal and rest periods required by the California Wage Order.

- 5. Plaintiff, Barbara Grady, brings Causes of Action One through Eight (the "class claims") as a class action on behalf of herself and other similarly situated individuals who have worked as traveling nurses for Defendant in California at any time beginning October 8, 2017, through the resolution of this action. These class action claims are brought pursuant to California Labor Code §§ 201-203, 221-223, 226, 226.7, 510, 1174, 1194, and 1198; and California Code of Regulations, Title 8 § 11040 §§ 3 & 7, 11-12 (Wage Order No. 4), and under Business & Professions Code §§ 17200-17208, for unfair competition due to Defendant's unlawful, unfair and fraudulent business acts and practices.
- 6. Plaintiff, Barbara Grady, brings Causes of Action Nine as a representative action, but not a class action, on behalf of the State of California and other aggrieved employees, seeks civil penalties under the Private Attorneys General Act of 2004 (PAGA), Labor Code §§ 2698 *et seq.* In passing PAGA, the California Legislature "declared that adequate financing of labor law enforcement was necessary to achieve maximum compliance with state labor laws, that staffing levels for labor law enforcement agencies had declined and were unlikely to keep pace with future growth of the labor market, and that it was therefore in the public interest to allow aggrieved employees, acting as private attorneys general, to recover civil penalties for Labor Code violations." *Arias v. Super. Ct.*, 46 Cal. 4th 969, 980 (2009).
- 7. PAGA permits aggrieved employees to bring an action to recover civil penalties available under any provision of the Labor Code that provides for a civil

penalty be assessed and collected by the Labor and Workforce Development Agency ("LWDA") or any of its departments or divisions. *See* Labor Code § 2699(a). Additionally, for all provisions of the Labor Code that do not specify a civil penalty, PAGA establishes a civil penalty for violation of these provisions in the amount of one hundred dollars (\$100) for each aggrieved employee per pay period for the initial violation and two hundred dollars (\$200) for each aggrieved employee per pay period for each subsequent violation. *Id.* at § 2699(f). Because the action is brought on behalf of the state, 75% of the fees collected are distributed to the LWDA for the enforcement of labor laws and for the education of employers and employees. The remaining 25% is shared between aggrieved employees. *Id.* at § 2699(i).

- 8. On July 22, 2021, Plaintiff provided written notice of the Labor Code violations alleged herein to the LWDA via online submission, with a certified copy mailed to Defendant. More than 65 calendar days have passed since the date of this notice. Accordingly, Plaintiff has satisfied the administrative prerequisites under California Labor Code § 2699.3(a) to recover civil penalties against Defendant for the Labor Code violations alleged herein.
- 9. Before the filing of this lawsuit, Defendant agreed to toll the statute of limitation effective October 8, 2021, on all claims based on alleged off-the-clock or meal or rest period violations under the California Labor Code, California Industrial Welfare Commission Wage Orders or Section 17200 of the California Business and Professions Code asserted on behalf of Plaintiff, the Class Members, and the aggrieved employees alleged herein.
- 10. Plaintiff, on behalf of herself and all others similarly situated, also requests reasonable attorneys' fees and costs pursuant to California Labor Code §§ 1194(a), 2699(g); and Code of Civil Procedure § 1021.5.

#### II. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

11. The Court has jurisdiction over this action pursuant to Article 6, § 10

of the California Constitution and California Code of Civil Procedure § 410.10.

- 12. The Court has jurisdiction over Defendant because it is a corporation authorized to transact business in the State of California and are registered with the California Secretary of State. Defendants transact sufficient business with sufficient minimum contacts in California, or otherwise intentionally avail themselves of the California market through the advertising, marketing, and sale of products and services, to render the exercise of jurisdiction over Defendant by the California court consistent with traditional notions of fair play and substantial justice.
- 13. Venue is proper in this judicial district pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure § 395.5. Defendant employed Plaintiff and other employees in this County, transacts business in this County, and events complained of in this Complaint occurred in this County.

#### III. THE PARTIES

- 14. Plaintiff, class members and aggrieved employees as set forth below are current and/or former traveling nurses who worked for Defendants in California at any time beginning October 8, 2017, through the resolution of this action.
- 15. Plaintiff Barbara Grady is a resident of Santa Monica, California. Plaintiff worked as a nurse for Defendant in San Bernardino, California, from approximately August 30, 2020 through October 17, 2020.
- 16. Defendant RCM Technologies, Inc., is a corporation incorporated in the State of New Jersey and headquartered in the State of Pennsylvania. Defendant is registered to do business in California, and at all relevant times has been engaged in the business of health care staffing in the State of California. Defendant employs nurses throughout California, including the County of Alameda.

# IV. FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

17. Plaintiff incorporates herein by reference the allegations set forth above.

- 18. During the relevant time period of this action, Defendant has employed Plaintiff and other similarly situated individuals as traveling nurses ("Nurses") to provide nursing services in hospital and health system settings throughout California.
- 19. Plaintiff worked as an hourly, non-exempt nurse for Defendant in Joshua City, California, providing care and treatments for patients at Hi Desert Continuing Care and various COVID-19 treatment and vaccination sites.

### A. Defendants' Failure to Pay for All Hours Worked

- 20. Nurses were regularly scheduled to work 12-hour shifts. Plaintiff Grady for example, was scheduled to work five twelve hour shifts per week during her employment with Defendant.
- 21. Defendant maintains policies and practices that lead to pre- and postshift "off the clock", uncompensated work. Specifically, prior to clocking in, Nurses have been required to undergo mandatory temperature checks. Plaintiff and the other Nurses have not been compensated for this additional time.
- 22. Additionally, Plaintiff and the other Nurses have had to work significantly longer than their scheduled shift time to complete their assigned duties. Due to the low staff and high patient volume, Plaintiff and the other nurses were unable to complete charting for their patients during their shift and were required to finish this paperwork before leaving. Additionally, patient "hand-offs", during which Nurses on one shift will update those working the incoming shift regarding patient care, routinely went past Nurses scheduled shifts. As a result, Plaintiff and the other Nurses were not compensated for any of this additional time.
- 23. In fact, Plaintiff was instructed that her timecard needed to reflect her scheduled start and end times, rather than the actual start and end times that she worked. Plaintiff was informed that failure to follow these instructions would result in her timecard not being approved. Plaintiff informed Defendant of the inaccuracy of her timecard but was instructed by Defendant that she needed to comply with

 those instructions.

24. Further, when Plaintiff Grady and the other Nurses were assigned to work at various COVID-19 vaccination clinics they were forced to arrive one hour prior to their shift to assist with unloading of the supply trucks and setup of the clinic. Once the clinic was closes, Plaintiff and the other Nurses were required to stay another hour or two later to assist in reloading of the truck and cleaning of the clinic. Again, Plaintiff and the other Nurses were required to sign in and out during their scheduled shift times, rather than the actual time worked. Thus, Plaintiff and the Nurses were not compensated for any of this pre- and post- shift work.

# B. Defendants' failure to provide off duty meal and rest periods

- 25. Defendant does not institute policies and procedures that would enable their nurses to take statutorily mandated meal and rest periods. Defendant's Nurses such as Ms. Grady are duty-bound to remain attentive to their patients and on-duty, unless relieved from duty by another nurse. Nurses who abandon patients violate ethical and professional codes, which can lead to the revocation of their nursing license and/or termination of employment.
- 26. Defendant routinely fails to provide the Nurses with uninterrupted, thirty-minute meal periods during which they are completely relieved of duty, and routinely do not permit and authorize them to take rest breaks of at least ten minutes by the end of every fourth hour of work or major fraction thereof. Ms. Grady, for example, worked approximately 12-hour shifts providing nursing services as a RCM employee, but was regularly unable to take meal or rest breaks because of her patient care responsibilities and the lack of additional staff to relieve her. Accordingly, Defendant's Nurses like Ms. Grady typically manage to eat only while performing other work.
- 27. Even when Plaintiff and the other Nurses managed to get a break, they were not relieved of all duty. Defendant required that Ms. Grady and all other Nurses be on call during their breaks. Defendant required the Nurses to keep their

'beeper' with them at all times to be able to be contacted and therefore interrupted during their breaks. Additionally, Defendant required Plaintiff and the other nurses to remain on the hospital premises for all meal breaks. Nonetheless, Defendants automatically deducted meal break times from Plaintiff and the other Nurses time sheets and pay, even when they never received them.

28. Plaintiff and Nurses never received premium pay for any of these missed meal periods.

#### **CLASS ACTION ALLEGATIONS**

- 29. Plaintiff brings Causes of Action Two through Nine as a class action on behalf of herself and all others similarly situated pursuant to pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure § 382. This action satisfies the criteria for class certification because there is an ascertainable class and a well-defined community of interest among class members, as alleged herein.
- 30. Plaintiff brings the PAGA Cause of Action as a representative law enforcement action, but not as a class action.
- 31. <u>Class Definition</u>: The Class that Plaintiff seeks to represent is defined as, and comprises, the following:

All individuals who worked as a traveling nurse or like hourly position for Defendant in California at any time beginning October 8, 2017, through the date of notice to the Class.

- 32. <u>Ascertainability</u>: The Class is ascertainable because it comprises a well-defined and objectively identifiable group of individuals who are too numerous to be individually joined in the lawsuit. The Class members also are easily identifiable from Defendants' business records.
- 33. <u>Existence and Predominance of Common Questions of Law and/or Fact</u>. Common questions of law and/or fact exist as to the members of the Class and, in addition, common questions of law and/or fact predominate over questions affecting only individual members of the Class. The common questions include the following:

- i. Whether Defendant required and/or suffered and permitted Plaintiff and the other Nurses to work unscheduled overtime:
- ii. Whether Defendant's policies fail to account for and compensate Nurses for time spent undergoing temperature checks required by Defendant.
- iii. Whether Defendant requires and/or suffers and permits Nurses to perform patient hand-offs off-the-clock;
- iv. Whether Defendant requires and/or suffers and permits Nurses to perform patient charting off-the-clock;
- v. Whether Defendant requires and/or suffers and permits Nurses to perform other patient care duties off-the-clock;
- vi. Whether Defendant requires and/or suffers and permits Nurses to work through and/or remain on-duty during their meal periods;
- vii. Whether Defendant has sufficient policies and procedures to permit Nurses to verify their unscheduled work time with the host facility or otherwise;
- viii. Whether Defendant has failed to compensate Plaintiff and the other Nurses at their the statutorily mandated overtime rates for hours worked in excess of 8 hours in a day and/or 40 hours in a week;
  - ix. Whether Defendant has failed to compensate Plaintiff and the other Nurses at their the statutorily mandated double time rates for hours worked in excess of 12 hours in a day;
  - x. Whether Defendant has sufficient policies and procedures to provide Nurses with off-duty meal periods at the host facilities during which they are completely removed from duty for at least 30 minutes by the end of the fifth hour of work;

- xi. Whether Defendant has sufficient policies and procedures to provide Nurses with a second off-duty meal period at the host facilities during which they are completely removed from duty for at least 30 minutes by the end of the tenth hour of work;
- xii. Whether Defendant has sufficient policies and procedures to authorize and permit off-duty rest periods at the host facilities during which they are completely removed from duty for at least 10 minutes during every four hours of work or major fraction thereof;
- xiii. Whether Defendant failed to keep accurate records of hours worked and wages earned by Nurses;
- xiv. Whether Defendant's failure to compensate Plaintiff and the other Nurses for all their work-time, including overtime, double-time and/or through meal periods, has been willful, intentional or reckless;
- xv. Whether the paychecks provided to Nurses in connection with their compensation contain all the elements mandated for accurate itemized wage statements under Cal. Labor Code § 226(a);
- xvi. Whether Nurses whose employment relationship with Defendant has terminated are entitled to waiting time penalties for Defendant's failure to timely pay all outstanding amounts of compensation owed upon termination of the employment relationship;
- xvii. Whether Defendant's policies and practices have resulted in violation of one or more of the Labor Code Provisions cited herein;
- xviii. Whether Defendant's policies and practices are unlawful, unfair

and/or fraudulent business practices in violation of California Business & Professions Code §§17200, et seq.; and

- xix. The injunctive and/or monetary relief to which Plaintiff and the Class may be entitled as a result of the violations alleged herein.
- 34. <u>Typicality</u>. Plaintiff's claims are typical of the claims of the Class. Defendant's common course of conduct in failing to approve and compensate Nurses for the hours they are required and/or suffered and permitted to work, including overtime and double time; failing to provide off-duty meal periods in accordance with the timing and durational requirements of the applicable Wage Order; failing to authorize and permit off-duty rest periods in accordance with the timing and durational requirements of the applicable Wage Order; failing to keep accurate records of time worked and issue accurate itemized wage statements; and failure to pay waiting time penalties; has caused Plaintiff and the Class to sustain the same or similar injuries and damages. Plaintiff's claims are thereby representative of and co-extensive with the claims of the Class.
- 35. <u>Adequacy</u>. The class action is superior to other available means for the fair and efficient adjudication of this dispute. The injury suffered by each member of the Class, while meaningful on an individual basis, is not of such magnitude as to make the prosecution of individual actions against Defendant economically feasible. Furthermore, individualized litigation increases the delay and expense to all parties and the court system presented by the legal and factual issues of the case. In contrast, the class action device presents far fewer management difficulties and provides the benefits of single adjudication, economy of scale, and comprehensive supervision by a single court, and avoids the problem of inconsistent judgments.
- 36. <u>Appropriateness of Injunctive or Declaratory Relief</u>: Final injunctive relief or corresponding declaratory relief is appropriate respecting the Class as a whole. Defendant has acted or refused to act on grounds that apply generally to the Class, such that final injunctive relief or corresponding declaratory relief may

be properly applied to the Class as a whole.

#### **CAUSES OF ACTION**

### FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

Failure to Pay Overtime Compensation California Labor Code §§ 510, 1194, and 1198 et seq., and IWC Wage Order No. 5.

- 36. Plaintiff hereby realleges and incorporates by reference the paragraphs above as though fully set forth herein.
- 37. California Labor Code §§ 510 and 1198, and IWC Wage Order No. 5, §3, provides that employees in California shall not be employed more than eight (8) hours in any workday or forty (40) hours in any workweek unless they receive compensation for those hours at a rate of one and one-half (1 1/2) times the employee's regular rate of pay.
- 38. California Labor Code §§ 510 and 1198, and IWC Wage Order No. 5, §3, provides that employees in California shall not be employed more than twelve (12) hours in any workday and for all hours worked in excess of eight (8) hours on the seventh (7th) consecutive day of work in a workweek, unless they receive compensation for those hours double the employee's regular rate of pay.
- 39. Defendant has failed to pay Plaintiff, and other members of the Class, overtime compensation for the hours they worked in excess of the maximum hours permissible by law under California Labor Code §§ 510 and 1198, and IWC Wage Order No. 5, §3. Defendants require and/or suffer and permit Plaintiffs and other members of the Class to work hours in excess of 8 in a day and 12 in a day.
- 40. Defendant has failed to pay Plaintiff, and other members of the Class, overtime and double time compensation for the hours they worked in excess of the maximum hours under California Labor Code §§ 510 and 1198, and IWC Wage Order No. 5, §3. Defendant requires and/or suffers and permits Plaintiff and other members of the Class to work hours in excess of 8 in a day and 40 in a week. This includes unscheduled overtime and double time resulting from time spent

performing patient hand-offs, patient charting and other patient care duties; time spent on pre-shift temperature checks; time spent on site set up, equipment unloading/loading, and site cleaning; and time spent working through and/or remaining on duty during meal periods.

- 41. Defendant's failure to pay additional, premium rate compensation to Plaintiff and members of the Class for their overtime and double time hours worked has caused Plaintiff and Class Members, and continues to cause Class Members to suffer damages in amounts which are presently unknown to them but which exceed the jurisdictional threshold of this Court and which will be ascertained according to proof at trial.
- 42. Pursuant to Labor Code §218.6 or Civil Code §3287(a), Plaintiff and other members of the Class are entitled to recover pre-judgment interest on wages earned, but not paid every pay period.
- 43. As a direct and proximate result of the unlawful acts and/or omissions of Defendant, Plaintiff and Class Members have been deprived of overtime and double time compensation in an amount to be determined at trial. Plaintiff and other members of the class request recovery of overtime and double time compensation according to proof, interest, attorney's fees and costs of suit pursuant to California Labor Code §§1194(a), as well as the assessment of any statutory penalties against Defendant, in a sum as provided by the California Labor Code and/or other statutes.

# **SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION**

Failure to Provide Meal Periods, or Compensation in Lieu Thereof California Labor Code §§ 226.7 and 512; and Cal. Code Regs., Title 8 §11040  $\P\P$  7 & 11

- 44. Plaintiff hereby realleges and incorporates by reference the paragraphs above as though fully set forth herein.
- 45. California Labor Code §§ 226.7 and 512, and Title 8 of the California Code of Regulations § 11040, ¶ 11 requires Defendant to provide off-duty meal periods to Plaintiff and members of the Class. California Labor Code §§ 226.7 and

- 46. Defendant does not provide the nurses with meal periods during which they are completely relieved of duty for at least thirty (30) minutes by the fifth hour of work and again by the tenth hour of work.
- 47. Rather, the nurses regularly work long shifts without the opportunity to take one or more meal periods during which they are completely relieved of all duty for at least 30 minutes.
- 48. Defendant's policy has been to require the nurses to skip and/or work through statutorily mandated meal periods whenever a nurse's assigned patient needs treatment or monitoring, rather than to maintain a system whereby other nurses relieve them at regular intervals throughout the day. Even when the nurses attempt to take meal periods, they are subject to interruption to respond to patient treatment needs.
- 49. Defendant has failed to perform their obligations to provide Plaintiff and Class Members off-duty meal periods by the end of the fifth hour of work and a second meal period by the end of the tenth hour of work. Defendant has also failed to comply with their obligation to keep accurate information with respect to meal periods for each employee.
- 50. Further, Defendant has not compensated Plaintiff and Class Members one (1) hour of pay for each off-duty meal period that they have been denied. Defendants' conduct described herein violates California Labor Code §§ 226.7 and 512 and Title 8 of the California Code of Regulations §11040, ¶¶ 7 & 11.

- 51. Pursuant to Labor Code §218.6 and/or Civil Code §3287(a), Plaintiff and other members of the Class are entitled to recover pre-judgment interest on wages earned, but not paid every pay period.
- 52. Plaintiff and the Class therefore seek compensation for Defendant's failure to provide compliant off-duty meal periods, plus interest, expenses, and costs of suit pursuant to the foregoing provisions and any other applicable law.

## **THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION**

Failure to Provide Rest Periods, or Compensation in Lieu Thereof California Labor Code §§ 226.7 and Cal. Code Regs., Title 8 § 11040 ¶ 12

- 53. Plaintiff hereby realleges and incorporates by reference the paragraphs above as though fully set forth herein.
- 54. California Labor Code §226.7 and Title 8 of the California Code of Regulations § 11040, ¶ 12 requires Defendant to authorize and permit off-duty rest periods to Plaintiff and members of the Class at the rate of ten minutes net rest time per four hours or major fraction thereof.
- 55. Defendant does not authorize or permit such off-duty rest periods to the extent required by law. To the contrary, the nurses regularly work long shifts without the opportunity to rest off-duty for even ten minutes during each of their four-hour work periods or major fractions thereof.
- 56. Defendant's policy has been to require the nurses to skip or work through statutorily-mandated rest breaks whenever a nurse's assigned patient needs treatment or monitoring, rather than to maintain a system whereby other nurses relieve them at regular intervals throughout the day. The nurses routinely are not authorized and permitted to take rest breaks of at least ten minutes by the end of every fourth hour of work or major fraction thereof.
- 57. Under both California Labor Code § 226.7 and Title 8 of the California Code of Regulations §11040, ¶ 12, an employer must pay an employee who was denied a required rest period one (1) hour of pay at the employee's regular rate of compensation for each workday that the rest period was not provided.

- 58. At all relevant times herein, Defendant has failed to perform its obligations to authorize and permit Plaintiff and Class Members to take off-duty rest periods as set forth above. Defendant also failed to pay Plaintiff and Class Members one (1) hour of pay for each rest period that has not been provided in compliance with the applicable Wage Order. Defendant's conduct described herein violates California Labor Code §§ 226.7 and Title 8 of the California Code of Regulations §11040.
- 59. Pursuant to Labor Code §218.6 and/or Civil Code §3287(a), Plaintiff and other members of the Class are entitled to recover pre-judgment interest on wages earned, but not paid every pay period.
- 60. Plaintiff and the Class therefore seek compensation for Defendants' failure to provide compliant off-duty meal periods, plus interest, expenses, and costs of suit pursuant to the foregoing provisions and any other applicable law.

# **FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION**

# Failure to Pay for All Hours Worked in Violation of California Labor Code §§ 201, 202, 204, and 221-223

- 61. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates the above paragraphs as though fully set forth below.
- 62. California Labor Code §200 defines wages as "all amounts for labor performed by employees of every description, whether the amount is fixed or ascertained by the standard of time, task, piece, commission basis or other method of calculation."
- 63. California Labor Code §§ 201 and 202 require an employer to pay all wages earned but unpaid immediately upon the involuntary discharge of an employee or within seventy-two (72) hours of an employee's voluntary termination of employment.
- 64. California Labor Code §204 provides that employers must compensate employees for all hours worked "twice during each calendar month, on days designated in advance by the employer as the regular paydays."

- 65. California Labor Code §§221-223 prohibit employers from withholding and deducting wages, or otherwise artificially lowering the wage scale of an employee.
- 66. Defendant has maintained and continues to maintain a policy of denying the traveling nurses compensation for all their compensable time, including time spent performing patient hand-offs, patient charting and other patient care duties; time spent on pre-shift temperature checks; time spend on site set up, equipment unloading/loading, and site cleaning; and time spent working through and/or remaining on duty during meal periods. Accordingly, Defendant has artificially reduced Plaintiff's and its other traveling nurses' pay rates by denying them compensation for travel time to and from work worksites.
- 67. As a proximate result of these violations, Defendant has damaged Plaintiff and the Class in amounts to be determined according to proof at trial.
- 68. Pursuant to Labor Code §218.6 and/or Civil Code §3287(a), Plaintiff and other members of the Class are entitled to recover pre-judgment interest on wages earned, but not paid every pay period.
- 69. Plaintiff, on behalf of herself and all others similarly situated, seeks all unpaid compensation, damages, penalties, interest and attorneys' fees and costs, recoverable under applicable law set forth below.

# FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION Failure to Keep Accurate Payroll Records California Labor Code §§ 1174 & 1174.5

- 70. Plaintiff hereby realleges and incorporates by reference the paragraphs above as though fully set forth herein.
- 71. California Labor Code §1174 requires Defendant to maintain payroll records showing, among other things, the actual hours worked daily by its employees, wages paid to its employees, the number of piece-rate units earned by its employees, and any applicable piece rate paid to its employees.

- 72. California Labor Code §1174.5 provides that employers who willfully fail to maintain accurate payroll records shall be subject to civil penalties.
- 73. Defendant has knowingly, intentionally, and willfully failed to maintain payroll records showing the actual hours worked by, and accurate hourly rate paid to Plaintiff and Class members as required by California Labor Code §1174 and in violation of §1174.5. As a direct result of Defendant's failure to maintain payroll records, Plaintiff and Class members have suffered actual economic harm as they have been precluded from accurately monitoring the number of hours they work, and thus seeking all wages owed in the form of overtime and double time compensation. As a direct and proximate result of the unlawful acts and/or omissions of Defendant, Plaintiff and the Class members are entitled to recover damages and civil penalties in an amount to be determined at trial, plus interest, attorneys' fees, and costs of suit.
- 74. Pursuant to Labor Code §218.6 and/or Civil Code §3287(a), Plaintiff and other members of the Class are entitled to recover pre-judgment interest on wages earned, but not paid every pay period.
- 75. Plaintiff, on behalf of herself and all others similarly situated, seeks all unpaid compensation, damages, penalties, interest and attorneys' fees and costs, recoverable under applicable law set forth below.

# **SIXTH CAUSE OF ACTION**

# Failure to Furnish Accurate Wage Statements California Labor Code § 226

- 76. Plaintiff hereby realleges and incorporates by reference the paragraphs above as though fully set forth herein.
- 77. California Labor Code §226(a) provides that every employer must furnish each employee with an accurate itemized wage statement, in writing, showing nine pieces of information, including: 1) gross wages earned; 2) total hours worked by the employee; 3) the number of piece-rate units earned and any applicable piece rate if the employee is paid on a piece rate basis; 4) all deductions,

provided that all deductions made on written orders of the employee may be aggregated and shown as one item; 5) net wages earned; 6) the inclusive dates of the period for which the employee is paid; 7) the name of the employee and the last four digits of his or her social security number or an employee identification number other than a social security number; 8) the name and address of the legal entity that is the employer; and 9) all applicable hourly rates in effect during the pay period and the corresponding number of hours worked at each hourly rate by the employee.

- 78. California Labor Code §226(e) provides that an employee suffering an injury as a result of a knowing and intentional failure to provide a statement accurately itemizing the information set forth in Labor Code §226(a), then the employee is entitled to recover the greater of all actual damages or fifty-dollars (\$50.00) for the initial violation and one-hundred dollars (\$100.00) for each subsequent violation, up to a maximum of four-thousand dollars (\$4,000.00).
- 79. Plaintiff and the Class Members are deemed to have suffered injury under Labor Code § 226(e)(2)(B) because, among other things, Defendant's violations of Labor Code § 226(a) include the failure to provide wage statements that accurately show total hours worked by the employees and all applicable hourly or piece rates that apply to work performed without compliant meal and rest periods.
- 80. Defendant intentionally and willfully failed to furnish Plaintiff and Class members with timely, accurate, itemized statements showing total hours worked, gross wages earned, net wages earned, and the applicable hourly rates as required by California Labor Code §226(a).
- 81. Plaintiff and the Class members have been injured by Defendant's violation of California Labor Code §226(a) because they have been denied their legal right to receive and their protected interest in receiving, accurate, itemized wage statements, and could not promptly and easily ascertain from the wage

statement alone their total hours worked, gross wages earned, net wages earned, and the applicable hourly rates, among other required information.

- 82. Plaintiff and Class Members have also been injured as a result of having to bring this action to obtain correct wage information following Defendant's refusal to comply with many requirements of the California Labor Code. As a result, Defendant is liable to Plaintiff and Class members, for the amounts, penalties, attorneys' fees, and costs of suit provided by California Labor Code §226(e).
- 83. Pursuant to Labor Code §218.6 and/or Civil Code §3287(a), Plaintiff and other members of the Class are entitled to recover pre-judgment interest on wages earned, but not paid every pay period.
- 84. Plaintiff, on behalf of herself and the Class, requests an assessment of damages as stated herein and other relief as described below.
- 85. Plaintiff, on behalf of herself and all others similarly situated, seeks all unpaid compensation, damages, penalties, interest and attorneys' fees and costs, recoverable under applicable law set forth below.

# SEVENTH CAUSE OF ACTION Waiting Time Penalties California Labor Code §§ 201-203

- 86. Plaintiff hereby realleges and incorporates by reference the paragraphs above as though fully set forth herein.
- 87. California Labor Code §201 requires an employer who discharges an employee to pay all compensation due and owing to said employee immediately upon discharge. California Labor Code §202 requires an employer to promptly pay compensation due and owing to said employee within seventy-two (72) hours of that employee's termination of employment by resignation. California Labor Code §203 provides that if an employer willfully fails to pay compensation promptly upon discharge or resignation, as required under California Labor Code

§§201-202, then the employer is liable for waiting time penalties in the form of continued compensation for up to thirty (30) work days.

- 88. Plaintiff and members of the Class who have left their employment with Defendant during the statutory period. Defendant willfully failed and refused, and continue to willfully fail and refuse, to timely pay all wages owed to Plaintiff and to all other Class members whose employment with Defendant has ended or been terminated at any point during the statutory period. As a result, Defendant is liable to Plaintiff and other formerly employed members of the Class for waiting time penalties, together with interest thereon, attorneys' fees, and costs of suit, under California Labor Code §203.
- 89. Plaintiff, on behalf of herself and the Class, request waiting time penalties pursuant to California Labor Code §203, plus attorneys' fees and costs, as described below.

# **EIGHTH CAUSE OF ACTION**

Unfair Competition and Unlawful Business Practices California Business and Professions Code §§ 17200, et seq.

- 90. Plaintiff hereby realleges and incorporates by reference the paragraphs above as though fully set forth herein.
- 91. California Business and Professions Code §17200 defines unfair competition to include, "unlawful, unfair or fraudulent business practices."
- 92. Plaintiff and all Class Members are "persons" within the meaning of Business and Professions Code §17204, who have suffered injury in fact and have lost money or property as a result of Defendant's unfair competition.
- 93. Defendant has been committing, and continues to commit, acts of unfair competition by engaging in the unlawful, unfair and fraudulent business practices and acts described in this Complaint, including, but not limited to:
- (a) violations of California Code Regulations, Title 8 § 11050, ¶ 3, 7, 11, & 12;
  - (b) violations of California Labor Code §§ 201-203

28

- (c) violations of California Labor Code §§ 221-223;
- (d) violations of California Labor Code § 226;
- (e) violations of California Labor Code § 226.7
- (f) violations of California Labor Code § 510;
- (g) violations of California Labor Code § 512;
- (h) violations of California Labor Code §§ 1174;
- (i) violations of California Labor Code § 1194; and
- (j) violations of California Labor Code § 1198.
- 94. As a result of its unlawful, unfair, and/or fraudulent business acts and practices, Defendant has reaped and continues to reap unfair benefits and illegal profits at the expense of Plaintiff and Class Members. Defendant's unlawful, unfair, and/or fraudulent conduct has also enabled Defendant to gain an unfair competitive advantage over law-abiding employers and competitors.
- 95. Business and Professions Code §17203 provides that the Court may restore to an aggrieved party any money or property acquired by means of the unlawful, unfair, and/or fraudulent business acts or practices.
- 96. Plaintiff seeks a court order enjoining Defendant from the unlawful, unfair, and/or fraudulent activity alleged herein.
- 97. Pursuant to Civil Code §3287(a), Plaintiff and other members of the Class are entitled to recover pre-judgment interest on wages earned, but not paid.
- 98. Plaintiff further seeks an order requiring an audit and accounting of the payroll records to determine the amount of restitution of all unpaid wages owed to herself and members of the Class, according to proof, as well as a determination of the amount of funds to be paid to current and former employees that can be identified and located pursuant to a court order and supervision.
- 99. Plaintiff seeks restitution to herself and all others similarly situated of these amounts, including all earned and unpaid wages and attorneys' fees and costs pursuant to Cal. Code Civ. Proc. §1021.5.

4

7

11

14

19

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

(b) violations of California Labor Code §§ 221-223;

(c) violations of California Labor Code § 226;

(d) violations of California Labor Code § 226.7

(e) violations of California Labor Code § 510;

- (f) violations of California Labor Code § 512;
- (g) violations of California Labor Code §§ 1174;
- (h) violations of California Labor Code § 1194;
- (i) violations of California Labor Code § 1198; and
- (j) violations of California Code Regulations, Title 8 § 11050, ¶ 3, 7, 11,& 12, as incorporated by the Labor Code provisions cited herein;
- 106. Plaintiff was employed by Defendant and the alleged violations were committed against her during her time of employment and she is, therefore, an aggrieved employee. Plaintiff and other employees are "aggrieved employees" as defined by California Labor Code §2699(c) in that they are all current or former employees of Defendant, and one or more of the alleged violations were committed against them.
- 107. Pursuant to California Labor Code § 2699.3, an aggrieved employee, including Plaintiff, may pursue a civil action arising under the PAGA after the following requirements have been met:
  - (a) The aggrieved employee shall give written notice by certified mail (hereinafter "Employee's Notice") to the LWDA and the employer of the specific provisions of the California Labor Code alleged to have been violated, including the facts and theories to support the alleged violations;
  - (b) The LWDA shall provide notice (hereinafter "LWDA Notice") to the employer and the aggrieved employee by certified mail that it does not intend to investigate the alleged violations within sixty-five (65) calendar days of the postmark date of the Employee's Notice. Upon receipt of the LWDA Notice, or if the LWDA Notice is not provided within sixty-five (65) calendar days of the postmark date of the Employee's Notice, the aggrieved employee may commence a civil action pursuant to California Labor Code §2699 to recover civil

27

28

Labor Code 226.7(b) and Wage Order ¶ 11(B);

m)

compensation and restitution for all wages owed;

27

28

# **JURY DEMAND** Plaintiff demands a trial by jury on all issues so triable as a matter of right. Respectfully submitted, DATED: February 7, 2022 **SCHNEIDER WALLACE COTTRELL KONECKY LLP** By: Yuri A. Chornobil Attorneys for Plaintiff

# SUMMONS (CITACION JUDICIAL)

NOTICE TO DEFENDANT: (AVISO AL DEMANDADO):

RCM TECHNOLOGIES, INC.

# YOU ARE BEING SUED BY PLAINTIFF: (LO ESTÁ DEMANDANDO EL DEMANDANTE):

BARBARA GRADY, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA
COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO
SAN BERNARDINO CIVIL DIVISION

MAR 2 4 2022

BY: ASHLEE BAYLESS CHAPA, DEPUTY

NOTICE! You have been sued. The court may decide against you without your being heard unless you respond within 30 days. Read the information below.

You have 30 CALENDAR DAYS after this summons and legal papers are served on you to file a written response at this court and have a copy served on the plaintiff. A letter or phone call will not protect you. Your written response must be in proper legal form if you want the court to hear your case. There may be a court form that you can use for your response. You can find these court forms and more information at the California Courts Online Self-Help Center (www.courtinfo.ca.gov/selfhelp), your county law library, or the courthouse nearest you. If you cannot pay the filing fee, ask the court clerk for a fee waiver form. If you do not file your response on time, you may lose the case by default, and your wages, money, and property may be taken without further warning from the court.

There are other legal requirements. You may want to call an attorney right away. If you do not know an attorney, you may want to call an attorney referral service. If you cannot afford an attorney, you may be eligible for free legal services from a nonprofit legal services program. You can locate these nonprofit groups at the California Legal Services Web site (www.lawhelpcalifornia.org), the California Courts Online Self-Help Center (www.courtinfo.ca.gov/selfhelp), or by contacting your local court or county bar association. NOTE: The court has a statutory lien for waived fees and costs on any settlement or arbitration award of \$10,000 or more in a civil case. The court's lien must be paid before the court will dismiss the case. ¡AVISO! Lo han demandado. Si no responde dentro de 30 días, la corte puede decidir en su contra sin escuchar su versión. Lea la información a continuación.

Tiene 30 DÍAS DE CALENDARIO después de que le entreguen esta citación y papeles legales para presentar una respuesta por escrito en esta corte y hacer que se entregue una copia al demandante. Una carta o una llamada telefónica no lo protegen. Su respuesta por escrito tiene que estar en formato legal correcto si desea que procesen su caso en la corte. Es posible que haya un formulario que usted pueda usar para su respuesta. Puede encontrar estos formularios de la corte y más información en el Centro de Ayuda de las Cortes de California (www.sucorte.ca.gov), en la biblioteca de leyes de su condado o en la corte que le quede más cerca. Si no puede pagar la cuota de presentación, pida al secretario de la corte que le dé un formulario de exención de pago de cuotas. Si no presenta su respuesta a tiempo, puede perder el caso por incumplimiento y la corte le podrá quitar su sueldo, dinero y bienes sin más advertencia.

Hay otros requisitos legales. Es recomendable que llame a un abogado inmediatamente. Si no conoce a un abogado, puede llamar a un servicio de remisión a abogados. Si no puede pagar a un abogado, es posible que cumpla con los requisitos para obtener servicios legales gratuitos de un programa de servicios legales sin fines de lucro. Puede encontrar estos grupos sin fines de lucro en el sitio web de California Legal Services, (www.lawhelpcalifornia.org), en el Centro de Ayuda de las Cortes de California, (www.sucorte.ca.gov) o poniéndose en contacto con la corte o el colegio de abogados locales. AVISO: Por ley, la corte tiene derecho a reclamar las cuotas y los costos exentos por imponer un gravamen sobre cualquier recuperación de \$10,000 ó más de valor recibida mediante un acuerdo o una concesión de arbitraje en un caso de derecho civil. Tiene que pagar el gravamen de la corte antes de que la corte pueda desechar el caso.

pagar or gravamen de la corte aines de que la corte paeda desecriar el caso.	CIVICE / / II 4 X
The name and address of the court is:	CASENUMBER: (Número del Caso):
(El nombre y dirección de la corte es):	
Rene C. Davidson, 1225 Fallon Street, Oakland, CA 94612	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	

The name, address, and telephone number of plaintiff's attorney, or plaintiff without an attorney, is: (El nombre, la dirección y el número de teléfono del abogado del demandante, o del demandante que no tiene abogado, es):

Joshua Konecky, Schneider Wallace, Cottrell Konecky LLP, 2000 Powell St., Ste. 1400, Emeryville, CA 94608

		, ,	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
DATE: (Fecha)	MAR 2 4 2022	Clerk, by (Secretario)	Ashlee Bayless Chapa , Deputy (Adjunto,
	ummons, use Proof of Service of Summo esta citatión use el formulario Proof of Se		POS-010)).
[SEAL]	NOTICE TO THE PERSON SERVED	: You are served	
	as an individual defendant.     as the person sued under the secondary of the secondar	he fictitious name of (	(specify):
Сору	under: CCP 416.10 (corpor CCP 416.20 (defund CCP 416.40 (associon other (specify):	ct corporation) iation or partnership)	CCP 416.60 (minor) CCP 416.70 (conservatee) CCP 416.90 (authorized person)

Page 1 of 1



firm's collection of file stamped documents.

Date of Mailing: 4/7/2022

# SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO

San Bernardino District 247 West 3rd St San Bernardino CA 92415 www.sb-court.org 909-708-8678

***complex*** Grady-v-rcm Technologies, Inc.
Case Number
IMPORTANT CORRESPONDENCE CIVSB2204890
Cottrell Konecky Llp 2000 Powell Street Suite 1400 EMERYVILLE CA 94608
From the above entitled court, enclosed you will find:
INITIAL COMPLEX ORDER AND GUIDELINES.
CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE
I am a Deputy Clerk of the Superior Court for the County of San Bernardino at the above listed address. I am not a party to this action and on the date and place shown below, I served a copy of the above listed notice:
Enclosed in a sealed envelope mailed to the interested party addressed above for collection and mailing this date, following standard Court practices.
Enclosed in a sealed envelope, first class postage prepaid in the U.S. mail at the location shown above, mailed to the interested party and addressed as shown above or as shown on the attached listing.
A copy of this notice was given to the filing party at the counter.

A copy of this notice was placed in the bin located at this office and identified as the location for the above law

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed on 4/7/2022 at San Bernardino.

By: Alfie Cervantes

Superior Court of California County of San Bernardino 247 W. Third Street, Dept. S-26 San Bernardino, CA 92415-0210

1

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO SAN BERNARDINO DISTRICT

APR 05 2022

BY Afric CERVANTES, DEPUTY

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA
COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO, SAN BERNARDINO DISTRICT

BARBARA GRADY

Case No.: CIVSB 2204890

VS.

RCM TECHNOLOGIES, INC.

INITIAL CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE ORDER

This case is assigned for all purposes to Judge David Cohn in the Complex Litigation Program, Department S-26, located at the San Bernardino Justice Center, 247 West Third Street, San Bernardino, California, 92415-0210. Telephone numbers for Department S-26 are (909) 521-3519 (Judicial Assistant) and (909) 708-8866 (Court Attendant).

# THE INITIAL CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE

An initial Case Management Conference (CMC) is scheduled for \_\_JUN\_\_2 8 2022 at 9:00 a.m. The initial CMC will be conducted remotely, via CourtCall. Contact CourtCall at (888) 882-6878 (www.CourtCall.com) to schedule your appearance. CourtCall may be used for all CMCs, motions, and other hearings. In person attendance is not required except as specifically ordered by the court.

Counsel for all parties are ordered to attend the initial CMC. If there are defendants who have not yet made a general or special appearance, those parties who are presently before the court may jointly request a continuance of the initial CMC to allow additional time for such non-appearing defendants to make their general or special appearances. Such a request should be made by submitting a Stipulation and Proposed Order to the Court, filed directly in Department S-26, no later than five court days before the scheduled hearing.

# STAY OF THE PROCEEDINGS

Pending further order of this Court, and except as otherwise provided in this Order, these proceedings are stayed in their entirety. This stay precludes the filing of any amended complaint, answer, demurrer, motion to strike, motion to compel arbitration, or motion challenging the jurisdiction of the Court. Violation of this order may result in the imposition of monetary sanctions.

Each defendant, however, is directed to file a Notice of General Appearance (or Notice of Special Appearance if counsel intends to challenge personal jurisdiction) for purposes of identification of counsel and preparation of a service list. The filing of a Notice of General Appearance is without prejudice to any substantive or procedural challenges to the complaint (including subject matter jurisdiction), without prejudice to

any denial or affirmative defense, and without prejudice to the filing of any cross-complaint. The filing of a Notice of Special Appearance is without prejudice to any challenge to the court's exercise of personal jurisdiction. This stay of the proceedings is issued to assist the court and the parties in managing this case through the development of an orderly schedule for briefing and hearings on any procedural or substantive challenges to the complaint and other issues that may assist in the orderly management of this case. This stay shall not preclude the parties from informally exchanging documents and other information that may assist them in their initial evaluation of the issues.

# **SERVICE OF THIS ORDER**

Plaintiffs' counsel is ordered to serve this Order on counsel for each defendant, or, if counsel is not known, on each defendant within five days of the date of this Order. If the complaint has not been served as the date of this Order, counsel for plaintiff is to serve the complaint along with this Order within ten days of the date of this Order. Failure to serve this order may result in the imposition of monetary sanctions.

# AGENDA FOR THE INITIAL CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE

Counsel for all parties are ordered to meet and confer in person no *later* than fourteen days before the initial CMC to discuss the subjects listed below. Counsel must be fully prepared to discuss these subjects with the court:

- 1. Any issues of recusal or disqualification;
- Any potentially dispositive or important threshold issues of law or fact that, if considered by the court, may simplify or further resolution of the case;
- 3. Appropriate mechanisms for Alternative Dispute Resolution;

26

27

28

- 4. A plan for the preservation of evidence and a uniform system for the identification of documents to be used throughout the course of this litigation, including discovery and trial;
- A discovery plan for the disclosure and production of documents and other discovery, including whether the court should order automatic disclosures, patterned on Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(a) or otherwise;
- 6. Whether it is advisable to conduct discovery in phases so that information needed to conduct meaningful ADR is obtained early in the case;
- 7. Any issues involving the protection of evidence and confidentiality;
- 8. The use and selection of an electronic service provider;
- 9. The handling of any potential publicity issues;
- 10. Any other issues counsel deem appropriate to address with the court.

# THE JOINT REPORT

Counsel are ordered to prepare a Joint Report for the initial CMC, to be filed directly in Department S-26 (not in the Clerk's office), no later than ten days before the conference date. Separate reports from each party are not allowed. Judicial Council form CMC statements are not allowed. The Joint Report must include the following:

- 1. Whether the case should or should not be treated as complex;
- Whether additional parties are likely to be added and a proposed date by which all parties must be served;
- 3. A service list (the service list should identify all primary and secondary counsel, firm names, addresses, telephone numbers, email addresses, and fax numbers for all counsel.)

- 4. Whether the court should issue an order requiring electronic service. Counsel should advise the court regarding any preferred web-based electronic service provider;
- 5. Whether any issues of jurisdiction or venue exist that might affect this court's ability to proceed with this case.
- 6. Whether there are applicable arbitration agreements, and the parties' views on their enforceability;
- 7. A list of all related litigation pending in this or other courts (state and federal), a brief description of any such litigation, including the name of the judge assigned to the case, and a statement whether any additional related litigation is anticipated;
- 8. A description of the major factual and legal issues in the case. The parties should address any contracts, statutes, or regulations on which claims or defenses are based, or which will require interpretation in adjudicating the claims and defenses;
- The parties' tentative views on an ADR mechanism and how such mechanism might be integrated into the course of the litigation;
- 10. A discovery plan, including the time need to conduct discovery and whether discovery should be conducted in phases or limited (and, if so, the order of phasing or types of limitations). With respect to the discovery of electronically stored information (ESI), the plan should include:
  - a. Identification of the Information Management Systems used by the parties;

1

2

- The location and custodians of information that is likely to be subject to production (including the identification of network and email servers and hard-drives maintained by custodians);
- c. The types of ESI that will be requested and produced, e.g. data files, emails, etc.;
- d. The format in which ESI will be produced;
- e. Appropriate search criteria for focused requests.
- f. A statement whether the parties will allow their respective IT consultants or employees to participate directly in the meet and confer process.
- 11. Whether the parties will stipulate that discovery stays or other stays entered by the court for case management purposes will be excluded in determining the statutory period for bringing the case to trial under Code of Civil Procedure Section 583.310 (the Five Year Rule).
- 12. Recommended dates and times for the following:
  - a. The next CMC (absent special circumstances, the court typically schedules the next CMC approximately six months out);
  - b. A schedule for any contemplated ADR;
  - c. A filing deadline (and proposed briefing schedule) for any anticipated non-discovery motions.
  - d. With respect to class actions, the parties' tentative views on an appropriate deadline for a class certification motion to be filed.

To the extent the parties are unable to agree on any matter to be addressed in the Joint Report, the positions of each party or of various parties should be set forth separately. The parties are encouraged to propose, either jointly or separately, any approaches to case management that they believe will promote the fair and efficient handling of this case.

Any stipulations to continue conferences or other hearings throughout this litigation must be filed with the court directly in Department S-26 (not in the Clerk's office), no later than five court days before the conference or hearing date.

#### INFORMAL DISCOVERY CONFERENCES

Motions concerning discovery cannot be filed without first requesting an informal discovery conference (IDC) with the court. Making a request for an IDC automatically stays the deadline for filing any such motion. IDCs are conducted remotely, via the BlueJeans Video Conferencing program. Attendees will need to download the BlueJeans program (available from the app stores for IOS or Android) to a computer, laptop, tablet, or smartphone. If the device being used does not have camera capability, the BlueJeans application offers an audio-only option. Video appearance at the IDC, however, is encouraged. The Court will provide a link to join the conference at the appointed time. Please provide Department S-26's Judicial Assistant ((909) 521-3519) or Court Attendant ((909) 708-8866) with an e-mail address. No briefing is required for the IDC, but counsel (either jointly or separately) should lodge (not file) a one page statement of the issues in dispute in Department S-26 no later than the day before the IDC.

Dated:	l	5	, 2022.

DAVID COHN

David Cohn, Judge of the Superior Court

# **GUIDELINES FOR THE COMPLEX LITIGATION PROGRAM**

# SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO

JUDGE DAVID COHN DEPARTMENT S-26

JUDGE JANET FRANGIE
DEPARTMENT S-29

#### THE SAN BERNARDINO COUNTY COMPLEX LITIGATION PROGRAM

The Complex Litigation Department for the Superior Court of the State of California, County of San Bernardino, is located at the San Bernardino Justice Center, 247 West Third Street, San Bernardino, CA 92415-0210. The Complex Litigation judges are Judge David Cohn, Department S-26, and Judge Janet Frangie, Department S-29. Telephone numbers for Department S-26 are 909-521-3519 (judicial assistant) and 909-708-8866 (court attendant). Telephone numbers for Department S-29 are 909-521-3461 (judicial assistant) and 909-521-3467 (court attendant)

These guidelines govern complex litigation only in Departments S-26 and S-29. When complex cases are assigned to other Departments, the judges may or may not choose to follow all or some of these guidelines.

## **DEFINITION OF COMPLEX LITIGATION**

As defined by California Rules of Court, rule 3.400(a), a complex case is one that requires exceptional judicial management to avoid placing unnecessary burdens on the court or the litigants and to expedite the case, keep costs reasonable, and promote effective decision making by the court, the parties, and counsel.

Complex cases typically have one or more of the following features:

- A large number of separately represented parties.
- Extensive motion practice raising difficult or novel issues that will be timeconsuming to resolve.
- A substantial amount of documentary evidence.
- · A large number of witnesses.
- Coordination with related actions pending in one or more courts in other counties or states or in a federal court.
- Substantial post-judgment judicial supervision.

Complex cases may include, but are not necessarily limited to, the following types of cases:

- · Antitrust and trade regulation claims.
- · Construction defect claims involving many parties or structures.
- Securities claims or investment losses involving many parties.
- · Environmental or toxic tort claims involving many parties.
- Mass torts.
- · Class actions.
- Claims brought under the Private Attorney General Act (PAGA).
- Insurance claims arising out of the types of claims listed above.
- Judicial Council Coordinated Proceedings (JCCP).
- · Cases involving complex financial, scientific, or technological issues.

# CASES ASSIGNED TO THE COMPLEX LITIGATION DEPARTMENT

# A. Cases Designated by a Plaintiff as Complex or Provisionally Complex

All cases designated by a plaintiff as complex or provisionally complex on the Civil Case Cover Sheet (Judicial Council Form CM-100) will be assigned initially to the Complex Litigation Department. The Court will issue an Initial Case Management Conference Order and schedule an Initial Case Management Conference as provided by California Rules of Court, rule 3.750, for the earliest practicable date, generally within approximately seventy-five days of the filing of the complaint.

A plaintiff designating the case as complex or provisionally complex must serve the Initial Case Management Conference Order and a copy of these guidelines on all parties at the earliest opportunity before the conference, and must file proof of service of the summons and complaint and proof of service of the Initial Case Management Conference Order with the court.

A defendant who agrees that the case is complex or provisionally complex may indicate a "Joinder" on the Civil Case Cover Sheet (Form CM- 100).

A defendant who disagrees that the case is complex or provisionally complex may raise the issue with the court at the Initial Case Management Conference.

## B. Cases Counter-Designated By a Defendant as Complex or Provisionally Complex

All cases which were not designated by a plaintiff as complex or provisionally complex, but which are counter-designated by a defendant (or cross-defendant) as complex or provisionally complex on the Civil Case Cover Sheet (Judicial Council Form CM-100), will be re-assigned to the Complex Litigation Department. At such time, the Court will schedule an Initial Case Management Conference for the earliest practicable date, generally within approximately forty-five days. A defendant (or cross-defendant) counter-designating the case as complex or provisionally complex must serve a copy of these guidelines on all parties at the earliest opportunity.

A plaintiff or other party who disagrees with the counter-designation may raise the issue with the court at the Initial Case Management Conference.

#### C. Other Cases Assigned to the Complex Litigation Department

Whether or not the parties designate the case as complex or provisionally complex, the following cases will be initially assigned to the Complex Litigation Department:

- All Construction Defect Cases.
- All Class Actions.
- All Cases Involving Private Attorney General Act (PAGA) Claims.<sup>1</sup>
- Judicial Council Coordinated Proceedings (JCCP) if so assigned by the Chair of the Judicial Council.

## REFERRAL TO THE COMPLEX LITIGATION DEPARTMENT BY OTHER DEPARTMENTS

A judge who is assigned to a case may, but is not required to, refer the case to the Complex Litigation Department to be considered for treatment as a complex case if (1) the case was previously designated by a party as complex or provisionally complex, or (2) the referring judge deems the case to involve issues of considerable legal, evidentiary, or logistical complexity, such that the case would be best served by assignment to the Complex Litigation Department. Such a referral is not a reassignment, but is a referral for consideration.

In any case referred by another judge to the Complex Litigation Department, the Complex Litigation Department will schedule an Initial Case Management Conference, generally within thirty days, and will provide notice to all parties along with a copy of these guidelines. If the case is determined by the Complex Litigation Department to be appropriate for treatment as a complex case, the case will be re-assigned to the Complex Litigation Department at that time. If the case is determined by the Complex Litigation Department not to be complex, it will be returned to the referring judge.

The Civil Case cover Sheet (Judicial Council Form CM-100) may not reflect the presence of a PAGA claim. PAGA claims erroneously assigned to non-complex departments are subject to re-assignment to the Complex Litigation Department by the assigned judge.

# STAY OF DISCOVERY PENDING THE INITIAL CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE

For cases that are assigned to the Complex Litigation Department, discovery is automatically stayed pending the Initial Case Management Conference, or until further order of the court. Discovery is not automatically stayed, however, for cases that were initially assigned to other departments and are referred to the Complex Litigation Department for consideration, unless the referring judge stays discovery pending determination by the Complex Litigation whether the case should be treated as complex.

# OBLIGATION TO MEET AND CONFER BEFORE THE INITIAL CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE

Prior to the Initial Case Management Conference, all parties are required to meet and confer to discuss the items specified in California Rules of Court, rule 3.750(b), and they are required to prepare a Joint Statement specifying the following:

- Whether additional parties are likely to be added, and a proposed date by which any such parties must be served.
- Each party's position whether the case should or should not be treated as a complex.
- Whether there are applicable arbitration agreements.
- Whether there is related litigation pending in state or federal court.
- A description of the major legal and factual issues involved in the case.
- Any discovery or trial preparation procedures on which the parties agree. The parties should address what discovery will be required, whether discovery should be conducted in phases or otherwise limited, and whether the parties agree to electronic service and an electronic document depository and, if so, their preferred web-based electronic service provider.
- An estimate of the time needed to conduct discovery and to prepare for trial.
- The parties' views on an appropriate mechanism for Alternative Dispute Resolution.
- Any other matters on which the parties request a court ruling.

The Joint Statement is to be filed directly in the Complex Litigation Department no later than four court days before the conference. This requirement of a Joint Statement is not satisfied by using Judicial Council Form CM-110, pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 3 .725(a), or by parties filing individual statements. Failure to participate meaningfully in the "meet and confer" process or failure to submit a Joint Statement may result in the imposition of monetary or other sanctions.

# THE INITIAL CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE

At the Initial Case Management Conference, the court will determine whether the action is a complex case, as required by California Rules of Court, rule 3.403. If the court determines the case is complex, the court will issue further management-related orders at that time. If the court determines the case is not complex, the case may be retained by the judge, but not treated as a complex case, or it may be reassigned to a different department; if the case was referred by another judge and the case is found to be inappropriate for treatment as a complex case, the case will be returned to the

Page 4 of 8

referring judge.

At the Initial Case Management Conference, the court and counsel will address the subjects listed in California Rules of Court, rule 3.750(b), and all issues presented by the Joint Statement.

Once a case is deemed complex, the function of the Initial Case Management Conference and all subsequent Case Management Conferences is to facilitate discovery, motion practice, and trial preparation, and to discuss appropriate mechanisms for settlement negotiations.

Lead counsel should attend the Initial Case Management Conference. Counsel with secondary responsibility for the case may attend in lieu of lead counsel, but only if such counsel is fully informed about the case and has full authority to proceed on all issues to be addressed at the conference. "Special Appearance" counsel (lawyers who are not the attorneys of record) are not allowed.

# REMOTE APPEARANCES AT CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCES

Pending further order of the Court, all Case Management Conferences will be conducted remotely, via CourtCall, without in-person attendance of counsel or parties. CourtCall appearances are scheduled by telephoning CourtCall at (888) 882-6878. See <a href="https://www.CourtCall.com">www.CourtCall.com</a> for further information.

#### **CASE MANAGEMENT ORDERS**

The court may issue formal, written case management orders. Typically, complex construction defect cases will proceed pursuant to such an order. Other cases involving numerous parties or unusual logistical complexity may be appropriate for such a written order as well. The need for a written case management order will be discussed at the Initial Case Management Conference or at later times as the need arises. The parties will prepare such orders as directed by the court.

## ADDITIONAL CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCES

After the Initial Case Management Conference, the court will schedule additional case management conferences as necessary and appropriate on a case-by-case basis.

As with the Initial Case Management Conference, lead counsel should attend all case management conferences. Counsel with secondary responsibility for the case may attend in lieu of lead counsel, but only if such counsel is fully informed about the case and has full authority to proceed on all issues to be addressed. "Special Appearance" counsel (lawyers who are not the attorneys of record) are not allowed. As with the initial Case Management Conference, until further order of the Court, all additional case management conferences are conducted remotely, via CourtCall.

# **VOLUNTARY SETTLEMENT CONFERENCES**

If all parties agree, the court is available to conduct settlement conferences. Requests for settlement conferences may be made at any Case Management Conference or hearing, or by telephoning the Complex Litigation Department.

#### **MANDATORY SETTLEMENT CONFERENCES**

In appropriate cases, the court may order mandatory settlement conferences. Parties with full settlement authority, including insurance adjustors with full settlement authority, must attend all mandatory settlement conferences.

#### **MANAGEMENT OF CLASS ACTIONS**

In class actions and putative class actions that are deemed complex, the Initial Case Management Conference will function as the Case Conference required by California Rules of Court, rules 3 .762 and 3.763.

#### **OBLIGATION TO MEET AND CONFER REGARDING MOTIONS**

In addition to any other requirement to "meet and confer" imposed by statute or Rule of Court in connection with motions, all counsel and unrepresented parties are required to "meet and confer" in a good faith attempt to eliminate the necessity for a hearing on a pending motion, or to resolve or narrow some of the issues. The moving party must arrange for the conference, which can be conducted in person or by telephone or video conference, to be held no later than four calendar days before the hearing. No later than two calendar days before the hearing, the moving party is required to file a notice in Department S-26, with service on all parties, specifying whether the conference has occurred and specifying any issues that have been resolved. If the need for a hearing has been eliminated, the motion may simply be taken off-calendar. Failure to participate meaningfully in the conference may result in the imposition of monetary or other sanctions.

The obligation to "meet and confer" does not apply to applications to appear *pro hac vice* or to motions to withdraw as counsel of record.

# **FORMAT OF PAPERS FILED IN CONNECTION WITH MOTIONS**

Counsel and unrepresented parties must comply with all applicable statutes, Rules of Court, and Local Rules regarding motions, including but not limited to their format. Additionally, exhibits attached to motions and oppositions must be separately tabbed at the bottom, so that exhibits can be easily identified and retrieved.

# ELECTRONIC SERVICE AND DOCUMENT DEPOSITORY

The parties in cases involving numerous parties or large quantities of documents are encouraged to agree to electronic service for all pleadings, motions, and other materials filed with the court as well as all discovery requests, discovery responses, and correspondence. Nevertheless, parties must still submit "hard" copies to the court of any pleadings, motions, or other materials that are to be filed.

# **INFORMAL DISCOVERY CONFERENCES**

The court is available for informal discovery conferences at the request of counsel. Such

Page 6 of 8

conferences may address the scope of allowable discovery, the order of discovery, issues of privilege, and other discovery issues that may arise. Counsel may contact the Complex Litigation Department to schedule an informal conference.

Before filing any discovery motion, the moving party is required to "meet and confer" with counsel as required by statute. If the "meet and confer" exchange fails to resolve all issues, the moving party is **required** to request an informal conference with the court before filing any discovery motion. Making a request for an informal discovery conference automatically stays the deadline for filing a motion.

Informal Discovery Conferences are conducted remotely, via the BlueJeans Video Conferencing. Attendees will need to download the BlueJeans program (available from the app stores for IOS or Android) to a computer, laptop, tablet, or smartphone. If the device to be used does not have camera capability, the BlueJeans application offers an audio-only option. Video appearance, however, is encouraged. Counsel will be provided with a link to connect to the conference at the appointed time.

Briefing is not required, though each counsel should lodge (not file) a one-page statement of the issues in dispute in the Department before the informal discovery conference.

#### **CONFIDENTIAL DOCUMENT AND PROTECTIVE ORDERS**

Proposed protective orders dealing with confidential documents should state expressly that nothing in the order excuses compliance with California Rules of Court, rules 2 .550 and 2.551. Proposed protective orders that are not compliant with the requirements of the Rules of Court will be rejected.

### THE PRETRIAL CONFERENCE

The court will schedule a pre-trial conference, generally thirty to sixty days in advance of the trial. Counsel and the court will discuss the following matters, which counsel should be fully informed to address:

- Whether trial will be by jury or by the court.
- Anticipated motions in limine or the need for other pre-trial rulings.
- The anticipated length of trial.
- The order of proof and scheduling of witnesses, including realistic time estimates for each witness for both direct and cross-examination.
- If there is a large number of anticipated witnesses, whether counsel wish to have photographs taken of each witness to refresh the jury's recollection of each witness during closing argument and deliberation.
- Whether deposition testimony will be presented by video.
- The need for evidentiary rulings on any lengthy deposition testimony to be presented at trial.
- Stipulations of fact.
- Stipulations regarding the admission of exhibits into evidence.
- If there is a large amount of documentary evidence, how the exhibits will be presented in a meaningful way for the jury.
- The use of technology at trial, including but not limited to electronic evidence.

Any unusual legal or evidentiary issues that may arise during the trial.

# THE TRIAL READINESS CONFERENCE

Trial Readiness Conferences are held at 10:00 a.m., typically on the Thursday morning preceding the scheduled trial date. Counsel and unrepresented parties must comply fully with Local Rule 411.2, unless otherwise directed by the court. Failure to have the required materials available for the court may result in the imposition of monetary or other sanctions.

#### **TRIALS**

Trial dates are generally Monday through Thursday, 11:00 a.m. to 12:00 p.m. and 1:30 p.m. to 4:30 p.m. Lengthy trials, however, may require deviation from this schedule. Unless otherwise ordered by the court, counsel and unrepresented parties must be present in the courtroom at least ten minutes before each session of trial is scheduled to begin.

Whenever possible, issues to be addressed outside the presence of the jury should be scheduled in a manner to avoid the need for the jury to wait.

1 2 3	Joshua Konecky, SBN 182897 Nathan Piller, SBN 300569 Yuri Chornobil, SBN 331905 SCHNEIDER WALLACE COTTRELL KONECKY LLP					
4	2000 Powell Street, Suite 1400 Emeryville, CA 94608					
5	Telephone: $(415) 421-7100$					
6	Facsimile: (415) 421-7105 jkonecky@schneiderwallace.com npiller@schneiderwallace.com ychornobil@schneiderwallace.com					
7	ychornobil@schneiderwallace.com					
8	Attorneys for Plaintiffs					
9	SUPERIOR COURT OF T	THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA				
10	IN AND FOR THE COU	NTY OF SAN BERNARDINO				
11		Case No. CIV SB 2204890				
12	BARBARA GRADY, individually and on behalf of all others similarly	0.000 1 (0.000 0.00				
13	situated,	PROOF OF SERVICE				
14	Dlointiffe					
15	Plaintiffs,					
16	v.					
17	RCM TECHNOLOGIES, INC.,					
18						
19	Defendant.					
20						
21						
22						
23						
24						
25						
26						
27						
28						

1	I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of Texas and the
2	United States of America that the foregoing is true and correct.
3	Executed on April 19, 2022 at Houston, Texas.
4	
5	2 Donne
6	ELVIRA A. BARAJAS
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	